

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_112076
Title of the Manuscript:	Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.) Response to Soil Additives Applied In-Furrow at Planting
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is very useful for the scientific community because the combination of soil additives is needed to enhance the productivity of crops.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the manuscript is self expressive.</p> <p>Yes, broadly covers all aspects.</p> <p>Yes, good knowledge and self explanatory</p> <p>The methods adopted during work are scientific.</p> <p>About 31 references have been used which is sufficient. please make sure, the reference should not older than 10 years.</p>	<p>It was necessary in some instances to use older references because there is limited papers on this subject and it was necessary to give background information and reference such.</p>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The article is very well written. It can be made even better by making some necessary improvements suggested. Some grammatical and spelling errors need to be corrected. Please go through the article.	Suggested corrections have been addressed including grammatical and spelling errors.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	