

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_112295
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbial, Physicochemical and Shelf-life Evaluation of Stored Sugarcane Jaggery in Different Packaging Materials
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is better, but the results of the tests were written in summary and better compared with similar works No, the subject is suggested Assessment of Microbial, Physicochemical, and Shelf-life of Sugarcane Jaggery Stored in Various Types of Packaging Materials</p> <p>It is better to express more comprehensive objectives in the abstract</p> <p>The results of the tests are summarized Yes</p> <p>Considering the scientific value of the work, more references should have been used</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>-The results of the tests should be stated more comprehensively -If they are compared with the current research on the subject previously worked on -More resources should be used to strengthen the research done</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Behzad Mohammadi
Department, University & Country	Afagh Higher Education Institute, Iran