

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_113336
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of the Presence of Bovine Papillomavirus in Blood Leukocytes of Cattle
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. BPV is a widespread disease in cattle herds. As this study also highlighted, BPV has a large share in the udder and vagina. Young animals are affected, which reduces well-being, health and future productive life. The economic efficiency of the farm is negatively affected. The investigation method is very good. Statistically speaking, the division by body regions is welcome. The degree of damage to the udder and the reproductive system with negative effects on economic efficiency is highlighted. The analysis based on the tests is of real use</p> <p>2. The title is suitable</p> <p>3. The abstract provide sufficient information regarding the main objective and results of the current study</p> <p>4. The structure is appropriate, exhaustive and well described, especially material and method, results and conclusions</p> <p>5. The manuscript approached a worldwide issue also the scientific correctness is valid</p> <p>6. The references is good enough</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English language is good. The readers can understand easily the goal of the study	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neamt Radu Ionel
Department, University & Country	Romania