

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_113178
Title of the Manuscript:	Maximizing Mustard Crop Yield: Unveiling the Impact of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on Brassica juncea L.var.M-27
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses a crucial agricultural concern: maximizing mustard crop yield through the strategic use of organic and inorganic fertilizers. The study, conducted at Himalayan University, provides valuable insights into the effects of different fertilization methods on various yield attributes of Brassica juncea L. var. M-27. By highlighting the superiority of 100% vermicompost in enhancing mustard yield, the research contributes practical information that can aid farmers, agronomists, and researchers in optimizing soil fertility, sustaining crop productivity, and reducing reliance on inorganic fertilizers. The findings presented in the manuscript have implications for sustainable agriculture, making it a relevant and significant contribution to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. YES</p> <p>3. Please increase the word count of abstract to 250 words and include 5 – 6 keywords also.</p> <p>4. Yes .</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Please increase the no. of references upto 20.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	NA	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sameena Maqbool Lone
Department, University & Country	SKUAST, India