

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_113329
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of intravenous iron therapy in patients with iron deficiency anemia presented to emergency department; retrospective study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Iron deficiency anemia is most common among others worldwide particularly in developing countries. Underlying causes of which are many. IV iron therapy is a common treatment option. Effective and successful iron therapy is needs accurate laboratory support, clinical assessment and patient compliance.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Acceptable</p> <p>Acceptably correct</p> <p>Acceptable</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Fair	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Working (operational) definition of iron deficiency anemia, exclusion and inclusion criteria should be described in materials and method.</p> <p>How quality assurance has observed for serum iron and haemoglobin contents determination in those respective laboratories should be included at least in brief account.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ne Win
Department, University & Country	Asia-Royal Specialist Hospital, Myanmar