

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113065
Title of the Manuscript:	Beyond Shelter: Exploring the roots of homelessness in Nepal through multifaceted Lens of social and Demographic Dynamics
Type of the Article	Original research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, a Manuscript is very important and useful for understanding social issues in the community, to developed social welfare policy</p> <p>2. Title of the article suitable and appropriate</p> <p>3. The abstract needs to cover the research methodology, and results should be maintained appropriately and to the point.</p> <p>4. The methodology needs to write appropriately, result and discussion need to reframe the result analysis table then the discussion writing and consent must be part of the methodology.</p> <p>5. Yes, but I think tools of data collection reliability and validity must be required, if any kind of validity is processed then you should write in the article</p> <p>6. References are sufficient and appropriate same of reference, not relevant to the study</p> <p>Research methodology terms are used appropriately, results not be generalized, and the conclusion must with aim based and commonly understandable</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language/ English same sentence needs to be reframed	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Researchers need to understand Research design</p> <p>Change basic things</p> <p>Use validity and reliability for questioners or use standard validated tools</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shrikant Pawar
Department, University & Country	Maharashtra Institute of Mental Health, India