

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_113043
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on the “Right to Education Act”: Examining Elementary Schools of Tuensang District, Nagaland, Northeast India
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Yes the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It gives us valuable insight/information on the provision of education in a low-income country. Which we need when assessing the success of the implementation of various educational policies throughout the world I find the title suitable. Yes, I find the abstract comprehensive and it gives me (as a general reader) enough information to decide on whether I want to read the whole article or not. Yes, for the most part. The manuscript, in my view, is scientifically appropriate, however I would like to see the author citing references much more throughout. It would build up and add to the robustness of the manuscript and add to its credibility and acceptance by the scientific community. The author states many known assumptions but those need to be cited by references. This is the main problem I have with this manuscript. But this can easily be corrected. <p>Please refer to the manuscript for detailed comments.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Overall the quality of English is relatively satisfactory; however there are some grammatical errors. Therefore, I would suggest the author using Grammarly typing assistance (just to name one). This computer program reviews spelling, grammar, punctuation, clarity etc. Having a grammatically correct paper, adds credence to our hard work.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I thoroughly enjoyed reading this manuscript and want to congratulate the author. It is so important to do research on the provision on education in low income countries. We need the information/insight into how countries/regions manage to implement education policies – which often are based on universal declarations. So, this research does add valuable knowledge to the scientific community.</p> <p>mainly adding references and do spell check and correct some grammar errors.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guðlaug Erlendsdóttir
Department, University & Country	School of Education, University of Iceland, Iceland