

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113031
Title of the Manuscript:	Job Satisfaction of the Sub Assistant Agricultural Officers in Dinajpur District of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. The researcher made a good attempt to study job satisfaction of the SAAOs in Dinajpur district; and examine the influence of selected socio-economic characteristics of the SAAOs on their job satisfaction. The study revealed that four factors, namely perceived colleagues' support and organizational support, job performance and psychological well-being are positively related with SAAOs' job satisfaction.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable. My suggestion is Exploring Factors Affecting Job Satisfaction among Sub Assistant Agricultural Officers in Dinajpur District, Bangladesh.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study's objectives, methods, findings, and implications. It effectively summarizes the exploration of job satisfaction among Sub Assistant Agricultural Officers (SAAOs) in Dinajpur District, Bangladesh. The abstract also highlights key findings, such as the predominant level of job satisfaction, factors contributing to job dissatisfaction, and significant variables influencing job satisfaction.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate and suitable.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. The researcher used correlation and regression for analyzing the manuscript. The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent. The researcher should follow APA style for references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English quality of the article is suitable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sujith. T. S
Department, University & Country	Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham Deemed University, India