

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_112231
Title of the Manuscript:	Gibberellic acid and planting time influence on the growth and yield of cabbage
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript focusing on testing different planting time and application of Gibberellic acid on cabbage. There are several similar studies been published on the application of GA.</p> <p>Ok</p> <p>The abstract covers the main aspect of the manuscript. However, English proofreading should be carried out.</p> <p>The subsection is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, but need improvement.</p> <p>No. There are some missing references such as Patil et al. (1987) and Roy and Nasiruddin (2011) which are not included in the reference list. Please check carefully. Most of the references quite old (more than 10years).</p> <p>Other comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Materials and methods. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Different planting time: Why choose these? Is it pre-rabi or late-rabi? Or what is the justification? Please elaborate in the manuscript. b. How been GA application carried out? Where is the location of the spraying? c. All parameters measurement were not included in this section. For example: How t measures the Thickness of head? Or how to calculate the Benefit cost ratio (BCR)? d. What is the software used for statistical analysis? 2. The results and discussion section needs major revision. A very minimal discussion is provided in each result as very limited comparison has been made to previous works of literature. 3. Figure 1 is unnecessary because it just repeated data in Table 3 and not referred in the text. 4. Conclusion was too short. Please include future direction or who's going to benefited from this finding. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Need improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comment</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramisah Mohd Shah
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia, Malaysia