

## Original Research Article

### **A Study on the Constraints faced by the Vegetable Growers in Mallawan Block of Hardoi District in Uttar Pradesh**

#### **ABSTRACT**

Training is the analytical process for human resource development as well as also plays an essential role in hastening of particular attitude among human behaviours. Moreover, training is a tool for accomplishing intervention of the level of human resource, that becomes increasingly and pivotal for the development substantially in all fields with an extending satisfaction in technology. The present investigation was aimed to observe the constraints faced by the vegetable growers in the production of vegetable cultivation. The data of constraints were assembled and analysed by using Garret's ranking methodology to develop a quantitative position of each constraint. Likewise, data findings were showed that the vegetable growers faced technological, resource, market and miscellaneous constraints. Among technological constraints, lack of knowledge about improved varieties, seed rate including sowing time were prominent. Similarly, regarding resource constraints, high costs of pesticides were more dominant. Furthermore, in case of market constraints, non-remunerative price and poor marketing facilities results, high risk which was dominant. And last one is miscellaneous constraint, non-availability of labour during peak season and high wages. Therefore, numerous field level constraints faced by the vegetable growers had been recognized as well as categorized into the circumstances for training needs.

**Keywords:** Market, Non-remunerative price, Pesticide, Resource, Technology

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Vegetables play a predominant role for the maintenance of human health as well as fulfil the requirement of nutritive as well as balanced diet. However, balanced diet is essential for sustained health. For a well-balanced diet, about 300 grams of vegetables are needed which encompasses both green leafy vegetables and root & tubers, but only 130 grams of vegetables per day per capita are available. These are common in human diet, without a vegetable meal is supposed to be incomplete. Likewise, they are rich source of micronutrients like: calcium, iron, phosphorus, copper, folate, zinc including vitamin A, C and B-complex respectively. Globally, India is the second largest country in terms of vegetables production (surpassed only by China) accounting for about 10.90 per cent of the world's production. Indian

farmers grow an amazing number of various varieties of vegetables, but potato, tomato, onion, cabbage and cauliflower accounts only approximately 60.00 per cent of the total production. Among all states, Uttar Pradesh is the first largest vegetable growing state in India. In U.P. the total area covered by vegetable crops is around 1,307.25 thousand hectares and production is 197.23 million metric tonnes (2020-21). In Hardoi district, area covered by vegetable crops is 17049 ha. However, it exists a big gap between the yield level attained by the scientists and the vegetable growers. This underlines the fact that the convenient technology has the possibilities for plentiful yield though the farmers demand to be given necessary knowledge and powerful skills for its omnipresent use by delivering continuous training lectures. Thus, training becomes a critical element principally in view of growing sophistication in agricultural technology together with its cost intensive nature. Nevertheless, no training programmes would bring preferable changes with regard to their knowledge, skill, attitude including other behavioural components unless; it is a need-based programme. A lot can be achieved in the direction of increasing vegetable production as well as productivity if the farmers are trained subsequent to their level of training needs and requirement. Hence, an attempt was made to evaluate the requirement of training for vegetable growers with respect to the contents, time, duration, place and method of training for efficient and production-oriented use of farm technology.

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The present investigation was conducted in purposively selected Hardoi district. It comprises 19 blocks among them only one block was opted purposively for study named Mallawan because in this larger area are covered in vegetable production. Total 120 vegetable growers were selected by multistage random sampling. Likewise, data were gathered by means of structured interview schedule. Garret's score method was applied to ascertain the most supreme constraints among the four groups as perceived by farmers. The formula for percent position suggested by Garret (1980) is given below:

$$\text{Percent position} = 100 (R_{ij} - 0.5) / N_j$$

Where,  $R_{ij}$  = Rank given for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  variable by  $j^{\text{th}}$  respondent,

$N_j$  = Number of variables ranked by  $j^{\text{th}}$  respondent.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results revealed that lack of knowledge about improved varieties, seed rate and sowing time (70.18) was assigned an overall third ranked and first group rank. Though, lack of

knowledge about IPM (Integrated Pest Management) technologies (69.86) was allocated overall ranked fifth and second group ranked. Lack of training of scientific vegetable production technology (68.82) was attributed an overall nine ranked and third group ranked. The non- availability of facilities of soil testing (68.07) was assigned overall seventeen ranked and fourth group ranked. Lack of publication (68.23) was assigned an overall fifteen ranked and fifth group ranked. The above results were similar to the findings of Gupta *et al.* (2020) found that the lack of knowledge regarding IPM. Kumar *et al.* (2019) found that the Lack of information about high yielding varieties and their seed/planting materials.

**Table 1: Distribution of the vegetable growers according to the Technological Constraints faced in growing vegetables**

| S. No.    | Particulars   | Sum of the Garrett's score | Mean  | Overall rank | Group ranks |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|
| <b>A.</b> | <b>Technological Constraints</b>                                      |                            |       |              |             |
| 1         | Lack of knowledge about improved varieties, seed rate and sowing time | 8422                       | 70.18 | III          | I           |
| 2         | Lack of knowledge about IPM technologies                              | 8383                       | 69.86 | V            | II          |
| 3         | Lack of training of scientific vegetable production technology        | 8258                       | 68.82 | IX           | III         |
| 4         | Non- availability of facilities of soil testing                       | 8168                       | 68.07 | XVII         | IV          |
| 5         | Lack of publication   | 8187                       | 68.23 | XV           | V           |

Among resource constraints as viewed by vegetable growers viz. unavailability of improved seeds of vegetables (69.25) overall eighth ranked and first group ranked, high costs of pesticides (68.76) overall tenth ranked and second grouped ranked, lack of irrigation facilities (68.69) overall eleven ranked and third group ranked, scattered and small size land holding (68.62) overall thirteen ranked and fourth group ranked, lack of cold storage (66.93) respectively. The above result obtained is similar to the findings of Pandit and Basak *et al.*, 2013 indicated that the lack of quality seed. Kumar *et al.* (2019) found that the lack of suitable cold storage facilities.

**Table 2: Distribution of the vegetable growers according to the resource constraints faced in growing vegetables**

| S. No.    | Particulars                                    | Sum of the Garrett's score | Mean  | Overall rank | Group ranks |
|-----------|--|----------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|
| <b>B.</b> | <b>Resource Constraints</b>                    |                            |       |              |             |
| 1.        | Unavailability of improved seeds of vegetables | 8311                       | 69.25 | VIII         | I           |
| 2.        | High costs of pesticides                       | 8252                       | 68.76 | X            | II          |
| 3.        | Lack of irrigation facilities                  | 8243                       | 68.69 | XI           | III         |
| 4.        | Scattered and small size land holding          | 8253                       | 68.62 | XIII         | IV          |
| 5.        | Lack of cold storage                           | 8032                       | 66.93 | XIX          | V           |

The results related to market constraints as viewed by vegetable growers viz. non remunerative price (70.99) was entrusted an overall first ranked and group ranked is also first, poor marketing facilities results high risk (69.59) was assigned as overall sixth ranked and second group ranked. Markets are distantly located (68.61) overall fourteen ranked and third group ranked. Lack of transportation facilities and high charges (68.17) overall fifteen ranked and fourth group ranked. Approach roads not in good conditions (64.98) overall twenty ranked and fifth group ranked consequently. The result was alike to the findings of Pandit and Basak *et al.*, 2013 indicated that the low-price during harvesting. Gupta *et al.* (2020) found that the lack of proper marketing facilities. Azad *et al.* (2014) found that the lower price of vegetable.

**Table 3: Distribution of the vegetable growers according to the Market Constraints faced in growing vegetables**

| S. No.    | Particulars                                   | Sum of the Garrett's score | Mean  | Overall rank | Group ranks |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|
| <b>C.</b> | <b>Market Constraints</b>                     |                            |       |              |             |
| 1.        | Poor marketing facilities resulting high risk | 8351                       | 69.59 | VI           | II          |

|    |  |      |       |     |     |
|----|--|------|-------|-----|-----|
| 2. | Markets are distantly located                      | 8233 | 68.61 | XIV | III |
| 3. | Approach roads not in good conditions              | 7797 | 64.98 | XX  | V   |
| 4. | Non remunerative price                             | 8519 | 70.99 | I   | I   |
| 5. | Lack of transportation facilities and high charges | 8180 | 68.17 | XVI | IV  |

Among miscellaneous constraints as viewed by vegetable growers inaccessibility of labours at the time of peak season and high wages (70.41) overall second ranked and first group ranked, high risk of natural hazards (70.06) overall fourth ranked and second group ranked, lack of subsidy (69.48) overall seventh ranked and third group ranked, Lack of information sources of vegetables production technology at village level (67.39) overall eighteenth ranked and fourth group ranked, poor extension contacts (66.68) overall twelve ranked and fifth group ranked respectively. Gupta *et al.* (2020) found that the Lack of regular visit of extension worker/scientist and VLWs at farmer's field.

**Table 4: Distribution of the vegetable growers according to the miscellaneous constraints faced in growing vegetables**

| S. No.    | Particulars  | Sum of the Garrett's score | Mean  | Overall rank | Group ranks |
|-----------|--|----------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|
| <b>D.</b> | <b>Miscellaneous Constraints</b>   |                            |       |              |             |
| 1.        | High risk of natural hazards   | 8407                       | 70.06 | IV           | II          |
| 2.        | Lack of subsidy  | 8338                       | 69.48 | VII          | III         |
| 3.        | Non-availability of labour during peak season and high wages                     | 8449                       | 70.41 | II           | I           |
| 4.        | Poor extension contacts  | 8001                       | 66.68 | XII          | V           |
| 5.        | Lack of information sources of vegetables production technology at village level | 8087                       | 67.39 | XVIII        | IV          |

Among technological constraints (Table 5), it was found that lack of knowledge about improved varieties, seed rate and sowing time was ranked 1<sup>st</sup> with Garretts mean score of

70.18 followed by lack of knowledge about IPM technologies was accorded 2<sup>nd</sup> rank with Garretts mean score of 69.86. Among resource related constraints which is faced by vegetable grower, it was found that unavailability of improved seeds of vegetable crops were the major constraints and ranked 1<sup>st</sup> with Garrett mean score of 69.25 followed by high costs of pesticides ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> with Garrett means score of 68.76. Constraints related to market (Table 5), it was found that non-remunerative price or low market price of vegetable crops were the major constraints and ranked 1<sup>st</sup> with Garrett means score of 70.99 followed by poor marketing facilities resulting high risk was ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> most serious constraints with Garrett mean score of 69.59. The findings related to miscellaneous constraints, it was found that non-availability of labour during peak season and high wages was the major constraints and ranked 1<sup>st</sup> with Garrett mean score of 70.41 followed by high risk of natural hazard was ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> most important constraints with Garrett mean score of 70.06.

**Table 5: Distribution of the vegetable growers according to the constraints faced in growing vegetables**

| S. No.    | Particulars   | Sum of the Garrett's score | Mean  | Overall rank | Group ranks |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|-------|--------------|-------------|
| <b>A.</b> | <b>Technological Constraints</b>                                      |                            |       |              |             |
| 1         | Lack of knowledge about improved varieties, seed rate and sowing time | 8422                       | 70.18 | III          | I           |
| 2         | Lack of knowledge about IPM technologies                              | 8383                       | 69.86 | V            | II          |
| 3         | Lack of training of scientific vegetable production technology        | 8258                       | 68.82 | IX           | III         |
| 4         | Non- availability of facilities of soil testing                       | 8168                       | 68.07 | XVII         | IV          |
| 5         | Lack of publication   | 8187                       | 68.23 | XV           | V           |
| <b>B.</b> | <b>Resource Constraints</b>   |                            |       |              |             |
| 6.        | Unavailability of improved seeds of vegetables                        | 8311                       | 69.25 | VIII         | I           |
| 7.        | High costs of pesticides  | 8252                       | 68.76 | X            | II          |
| 8.        | Lack of irrigation facilities   | 8243                       | 68.69 | XI           | III         |

|           |  |      |       |       |     |
|-----------|--|------|-------|-------|-----|
| 9.        | Scattered and small size land holding  | 8253 | 68.62 | XIII  | IV  |
| 10.       | Lack of cold storage   | 8032 | 66.93 | XIX   | V   |
| <b>C.</b> | <b>Market Constraints</b>  |      |       |       |     |
| 11.       | Poor marketing facilities resulting high risk                                    | 8351 | 69.59 | VI    | II  |
| 12.       | Markets are distantly located  | 8233 | 68.61 | XIV   | III |
| 13.       | Approach roads not in good conditions  | 7797 | 64.98 | XX    | V   |
| 14.       | Non remunerative price   | 8519 | 70.99 | I     | I   |
| 15.       | Lack of transportation facilities and high charges                               | 8180 | 68.17 | XVI   | IV  |
| <b>D.</b> | <b>Miscellaneous Constraints</b>   |      |       |       |     |
| 16.       | High risk of natural hazards   | 8407 | 70.06 | IV    | II  |
| 17.       | Lack of subsidy  | 8338 | 69.48 | VII   | III |
| 18.       | Non-availability of labour during peak season and high wages                     | 8449 | 70.41 | II    | I   |
| 19.       | Poor extension contacts  | 8001 | 66.68 | XII   | V   |
| 20.       | Lack of information sources of vegetables production technology at village level | 8087 | 67.39 | XVIII | IV  |

## CONCLUSION

From the above findings, it was found that vegetable growers faced technological constraints such as: lack of knowledge about improved varieties, seed rate and sowing time, lack of knowledge about IPM technologies, lack of training of scientific vegetable production technology, unavailability of improved seeds of vegetables, high costs of pesticides, Non-remunerative price, poor marketing facilities resulting high risk, inaccessibility of labour at peak season including high wages in addition to high risk of natural hazards as the major constraints faced by the vegetable growers under these four different groups i.e. Technological Constraints, Resource Constraints, Market Constraints, Miscellaneous Constraints. While, these constraints could be resolved by executing the remedies and

suggestions recommended by vegetable growers like; Agricultural Universities/KVKs/Research Institutions organized farmers fairs/kisangoshthi/ published articles by which farmers could be aware about improved varieties, seed rate and sowing time, knowledge about IPM technology, scientific vegetable production technology etc.

## REFERENCES

1. Azad, M. J., Ali, M. S., Islam, M. R., Yeasmin, M., & Pk, K. H. (2014). Problem perceived by the farmers in vegetable cultivation. *Journal of experimental bioscience*, 5(2), 63-68.
2. Gupta, B. K., Mishra, B. P., Singh, V., Patel, D., & Singh, M. P. (2020). Constraints faced by vegetable growers in adoption of IPM in Bundelkhand Region of Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, 56(4), 92-97.
3. Kumar, A. J. A. Y., Yadav Sumita, M. K., & Rohila, A. K. (2019). Constraints faced by the farmers in production and marketing of vegetables in Haryana. *Indian journal of agricultural sciences*, 89(1), 153-60.
4. Maisnam, G., Yadav, A., Devi, Y. K., & Mondal, S. (2019). A Study on Problems and Constraints Perceived By The Vegetable Growers of Manipur. *Think India Journal*, 22(30), 367-375.
5. Pandit, J. C., and Basak, N. C. (2013). Constraints faced by the farmers in commercial cultivation of vegetables. *Journal of the Bangladesh Agricultural University*, 11(2), 193-198.