

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_113615</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of Genetic Variability for Seed Yield and Related Traits in Some Mutant and Non-mutant Lines of Indian Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1- It's ok, but needs more results</p> <p>2- a- The introduction is without information about the different varieties of mustard and it is better to mention it in a part of it.</p> <p>b- Since the article is in English and its readers are international, it is necessary to change the name of the month from Rabi to the equivalent of the Gregorian months.</p> <p>c- In the statistical section, it is necessary to state whether the statistical calculations were done manually or with software, and if it was done with software, state its name and version.</p> <p>d- The statistical results should be expressed in such a way that the differences can be better discussed, and therefore it is necessary to express more details.</p> <p>3- It is acceptable</p> <p>4- It is acceptable</p> <p>5- With the condition that corrections are made especially in the results and statistical discussion section.</p> <p>6- It is relatively acceptable</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	After corrections	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	1- The grammar and spelling of the text need some correction.	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohammad Reza Vaezi-Kakhki</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hakim Sabzevari University, Iran</b>