

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_113692
Title of the Manuscript:	Epidemiological Profile of Adult Patients with Surgically Treated Intracranial Neoplasms: Analysis of 231 Cases
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Title: Better not to mention "analysis of 231 cases"</p> <p>Abstract introduction: provide reference for the first sentence (incidence of neoplasm in USA)</p> <p>Abstract Conclusion: please mention findings that are supported by data. In result (abstract) you have not talked about hemangioblastoma.</p> <p>Introduction: 2nd para: please provide reference to each fact rather than providing citation at the end of the paragraph</p> <p>Please mention research gap and rationale of the study.</p> <p>Please mention the ethical clearance and consent of the study.</p> <p>It is better to put a mark in the figure to indicate lesion described in the caption</p> <p>Properly label caption for fig 5</p> <p>As mentioned in the method section, there is no use of chi-square, Man-Whitney, multivariate analysis in the result section, please correct this.</p> <p>Table 1: bold or use other marks to denote statistical significant finding.</p> <p>In result section, you have mentioned few postoperative complications not the mortality or improvement or deterioration of preoperative status. Please include this before discussing these findings in discussion (para 4) or other sections.</p> <p>Please provide recommendation for further studies.</p> <p>Please rewrite conclusion. I could not find data on improvement of preoperative symptoms in postoperative period and there is no analysis of various factors with mortality that you have mentioned in the conclusion. Please mention the facts supported by data in result section in the conclusion.</p> <p>Please stick to journal format for citation style special consider placement of publication year, missing edition number ref 14. Ref 16 is about ROC curve which is not used in the study. Check integrity of ref 3.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Minor corrections required</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajan Ghimire
Department, University & Country	Nepal