

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_113584
Title of the Manuscript:	Biofortification: Enhancing Nutritional Content in Crops through Biotechnology and fighting climate change
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but should be shorter.</p> <p>Yes, but should be with DOI if available please.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes, very good.</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research is very good and deals with improving the nutritional value of many plant species, especially in densely populated areas or whose people suffer from malnutrition. The review of references is rich in information and supported by various sources, but it is better to keep it short, and define the research objectives and highlight the risks and costs of biotechnology in addition to the benefits. As mentioned, the pictures inside the research are good and the topic serves the scientific, practical and economic aspects. I recommend accepting it after shortening it, updating some of the scientific references, and mentioning a comparison between the negatives and positives of the techniques used in general within a brief paragraph, without the need to send it back to me, with greetings and wishes for success.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eman A. Muhsin
Department, University & Country	Iraq