

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_113308
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of applying the growth retardant paclobutrazol at various timings on the quality of the strawberry cultivar Chandler ( <i>Fragaria ananassa</i> ) was investigated under Punjab conditions.
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript idea is good, as foliar spraying with paclobutrazol improves the quality of fruits.</li> <li>2. The title of the manuscript is not appropriate. I suggest an alternative title (Effect of foliar spraying with the growth inhibitor paclobutrazol on the quality of Chandler strawberry (<i>Fragaria ananassa</i>) under Punjab subtropics.</li> <li>3. The summary of the article is good.</li> <li>4. The manuscript contained the main parts for writing the scientific article, with the exception of testing methods. It did not contain physical, and chemical testing methods, and did not include sensory tests for the fruits, in addition to not studying all quality indicators of the fruits.</li> <li>5. Most of the references used are very old, so the researcher is asked to add recent reference studies.</li> <li>6. The researcher did not adhere to the journal's conditions in documenting references in the text, and in the reference list.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	The language of the article is acceptable	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Why were the antioxidant activity, and mineral content of the fruits not evaluated?</li> <li>2. Why was statistical analysis not performed for all Tables?</li> <li>3. What type of statistical program is used and what type of statistical experiment?</li> <li>4. The indicators studied are not all quality indicators of the fruit, so other quality indicators must be added.</li> <li>5. All comments are documented within the text.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rawaa H. Tlay</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Damascus University, Syria</b>