

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_113818
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Mulberry Stalk Biochar and Humic acid on Growth, Yield and Quality of Mulberry (Morus alba L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The idea of the manuscript is good. 2. The title of the manuscript is appropriate. 3. The summary of the article is good. 4. The manuscript contained the main parts of writing a scientific article, except for testing methods. It did not contain physical and chemical testing methods, nor did it include sensory tests for the fruits, in addition to not studying all quality indicators of the fruits. 5. Most of the references used are recent, but more recent studies must be added. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language of the article is acceptable	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why were the antioxidant activity, and mineral content of the fruits not evaluated? 2. Why was statistical analysis not performed for all Tables, and figures? 3. What type of statistical program is used and what type of statistical experiment? 4. The indicators studied are not all quality indicators of the fruit, so other quality indicators must be added. 5. All comments are documented within the text. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rawaa H. Tlay
Department, University & Country	Damascus University, Syria