

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_114091
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship of ENSO and Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) at different locations of Punjab, India.
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>As a peer reviewer, I have carefully examined the manuscript and would like to provide my feedback on its strengths and areas for improvement as per following points</p> <p>Definitely manuscript is important for scientific community. Here are the key points from the paragraph that are important for the scientific community: The paper provides valuable insights into the dynamics of drought and its impact on agriculture, which are of great importance to the scientific community. It highlights the relationship between precipitation patterns and agricultural productivity, particularly focusing on the critical role of monsoon season rainfall in crop growth. Understanding these relationships through scientific research helps in developing better forecasting models, mitigation strategies, and adaptation measures to address the challenges posed by drought and ensure food security in vulnerable regions.</p> <p>Yes, title is suitable for article.</p> <p>Yes, abstract of the article comprehensive and well written.</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate. Authors should add a location map of the study area.</p> <p>Recent literatures which seem absent in this paper. Include recent literature.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications is good.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, I believe that the manuscript is well-researched and provides valuable insights into the impact of rainfall patterns in Punjab. However, there are some areas for improvement that the authors could consider enhancing the manuscript's clarity and impact.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suraj Kalgonda Patil
Department, University & Country	DKTEs Textile & Engineering Institute, India