

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_114091
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship of ENSO and Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) at different locations of Punjab, India.
Type of the Article	Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Need to be specific the reason to do this study. If it is for agriculture sector, then mention it here. (e.g. Identify the Relationship of ENSO and Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) at Punjab, India for agriculture sector)</p> <p>3. Need to include contribution of your study especially to the agriculture sector in the study area. By showing the relationship of ENSO and SPI only is not enough.</p> <p>4. Please include also:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The map of your study area - Methodology flow diagram to show your study process. - Provide map for the affected area (preferably using GIS) - The conclusion is too short. Please add some more especially regarding the relationship of your findings with the agriculture sector. <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	For me its good enough	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oliver Valentine Eboy
Department, University & Country	Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia