

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_113683</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessing Climate Change Impact on Horticultural Crop Dynamics in Tamil Nadu (2012-13 to 2021-22)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The language quality is not up the mark</li> <li>The writing style of objective is not standard level.</li> <li>Methodology could be presented more specifically and clearly.</li> <li>The conclusion section is not standard because it should be linked with previous existing studies for better presentation of this issue.</li> <li>Discussion section is not standard because it did not compare the findings with previous studies which are so important for clarifying this problem.</li> <li>Analysis part is confusing and poor standard. It could be present through some critical analysis that will be helpful for maintaining standardization of this topic.</li> <li>Overall average standard of writing.</li> <li>Major revision needed for accepting this paper.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mithi Mithila</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Barishal, Bangladesh</b>