

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_113454
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on the Vulnerability of fruit crop growers to climate change in Kalyana Karnataka region
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Review Report on the Paper entitled "A study on the Vulnerability of fruit crop growers to climate change in Kalyana Karnataka region" (Ref. No. Ms_IJECC_113454)</p> <p>Following are the suggestions to the author(s) need to be incorporated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The paper is not well expressed how the climate change is being monitored. <input type="checkbox"/> Hailstorms can destroy the crop anywhere in the world so destruction due to hailstorms is not a standard parameter of climate change. <input type="checkbox"/> How the crop growers evaluated the impact of the climate change on their crops. It has to be properly clarified. <input type="checkbox"/> Discussion should provide comprehensive interpretation of data with proper references. <input type="checkbox"/> Only 05 references are given in the paper. It seems that literature was not properly reviewed. Some more relevant references have to be incorporated in the paper. <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment should be given after the conclusion just before the references. <input type="checkbox"/> References should be given in the uniform format as per the format of the Journal. <input type="checkbox"/> Photographs of the study area have to be incorporated. <p>Manuscript required revision as suggested.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pratibha Gupta
Department, University & Country	India