

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_113484
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of factor VIII inhibitor and F8 gene mutations in persons with hemophilia A from Benin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- It will provide a helpful information for its research topic if it is done in the appropriate way.</p> <p>2- Yes, it is.</p> <p>3- The abstract is well displayed and comprehensive.</p> <p>4- In my opinion, the manuscript structure is appropriate and well displayed.</p> <p>5- I noticed that there are some weaknesses in some parts, I will write them down after answering those questions in the additional comments paragraph.</p> <p>6- I noticed that they are not sufficient some ideas need to be confirmed with references, also about 35% of the references are more than 20 years old since their publication, and it would be better if they could be replaced with more recent references.</p> <p>Additional comments: - In introduction paragraph: The second reference [2] contradicts the sentence it is cited for. It shows in conclusion that "in severe hemophilia the substantial increase in mortality previously associated with inhibitors is no longer present" unlike what is written in the manuscript "increases the morbidity and mortality associated with hemophilia A". "After 50 exposure days (EDs), the probability of inhibitor development diminishes substantially", "The risk of formation of an inhibitor is influenced by the genetic and environmental factors", and "The type of genetic mutation in the FVIII gene has a major influence on inhibitor formation" those ideas should be confirmed with at least one reference for each one. - In results paragraph: It is mentioned that the median age of PwHs A with inhibitors is 11 years ranging from 1 to 66 years. The range 1 to 66 is overrated, i think that the age factor of the sample is not well controlled and might negatively affect the results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bashar Wannous
Department, University & Country	Hama University, Syria