

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_113864
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of different okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.) varieties for yield and biomass production
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This manuscript has some new insights for the scientific community.</p> <p>I have some suggestion ; Evaluation of different okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.) cultivars for yield and biomass production</p> <p>It need improvement and include the suggestions incorporated in the revised version</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Reference section should be set according to the journal demand</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>I suggest to enhance the writing quality for the scientific community</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction section need rearrangement for the continuity.</p> <p>Methodology required rephrasing and need some information regarding software like packages</p> <p>Results section need improvement and arrangement</p> <p>Discussion need more hard work. This section is written in a very poor way.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Sajjad
Department, University & Country	Bahauddin Zakariya University, Pakistan