

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_111933
Title of the Manuscript:	REVIEW ON VERTICAL FARMING IN AGRICULTURAL AREA
Type of the Article	Review Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. 2. Yes</p> <p>3. 3. Yes</p> <p>4. NO</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. NO</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No, the author/authors should correct the structure of sentences and references better.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>- Due to the fact that this article is a review, it is necessary for the author/authors to more comprehensively examine the basics of vertical agriculture from the past to the present and the process of its change and transformation, as well as the relevant forms to improve the graphics and the quality of the article. They can also show these changes and trends with a diagram.</p> <p>- References should be updated (from 2015 to 2024).</p> <p>- A section in the review of the research literature should be devoted to the research conducted in the last ten years.</p> <p>- One of the important and scientific methods in review articles is the use of VOSviewer software, and it is emphasized that the author/authors use this method according to the available review articles. (VOSviewer is a software tool for constructing and visualizing bibliometric networks. These networks may for instance include journals, researchers, or individual publications, and they can be constructed based on citation, bibliographic coupling, co-citation, or co-authorship relations. VOSviewer also offers text mining functionality that can be used to construct and visualize co-occurrence networks of important terms extracted from a body of scientific literature).</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arman Rastkhadiv
Department, University & Country	University of Tabriz, Iran