

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Geographical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJGR_112993 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Assessment of Seagrass Ecosystems' Goods and Services of India |
| Type of the Article | Policy Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. This manuscript is very important for the scientific community and the government. The results of the study can be used as a reference for the government, scientific community and ordinary people to protect seagrass beds from damage. Considering how much economic loss will be caused when this ecosystem is lost.</p> <p>2. The title and content of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>3. The explanation on the abstract is very comprehensive but still needs to be summarized (less than 500 words).</p> <p>4. There are still old references (below 1990). It is better to use the latest references. Try to see: Economic valuation of seagrass ecosystem in Maribojoc Bay, Bohol, Philippines (DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d240826) and A review of seagrass economic valuations: Gaps and progress in valuation approaches (DOI: 10.1016/J.ECOSER.2016.02.010).</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Nenni Asriani |
| Department, University & Country | Universitas Sembilanbelas November, Indonesia |