

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113015
Title of the Manuscript:	PERCEPTION REGARDING MEDIA COVERAGE OF PESTICIDES USE IN AGRICULTURE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript exploring the perception regarding media coverage of pesticide use in agriculture holds significant importance for the scientific community. It contributes to understanding how media portrayal influences public understanding, policy formation, and communication on this critical issue. By analyzing the perception of the scientific community, the manuscript provides valuable insights that can inform efforts to improve media coverage, enhance science communication, and promote informed decision-making regarding pesticide use in agriculture.</p> <p>2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes, the references in the manuscript are recent and sufficient.</p> <p><b>Additional comment here.</b> 1. There is no statement of program in this manuscript. The author should add one paragraph of statement of problem.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. There is no recommendation on this manuscript so that, The author should add Recommendations: It is often appropriate to include a section with recommendations based on the findings of the study. These recommendations should be practical and actionable, suggesting strategies to overcome the identified barriers and improve policy implementation.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yishak Hanchacha Bore</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of South Africa, Ethiopia</b>