

ReviewForm 1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofAdvancesinAgriculturalResearch
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJAAR_113429
Titleof theManuscript:	Weatherbasedforewarningmodelforpowderymildewdiseaseofmustard
Typeof the Article	

PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community. The manuscript is well written with proper text and tables. Weather based forewarning model for powdery mildew disease of mustard has been described nicely with subsections of the introduction, Materials and Methods, Results and Discussions, Conclusion, and References. The learnings and findings of the manuscript will be helpful for respective persons and organizations.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. I think the references are not sufficient, addition of recent references will add value. <u>Additional suggestions/comments:</u></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. I think the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Md. Shah Kamal Khan
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh