

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_113954
Title of the Manuscript:	Supporting preschool children's resilience at basic daily context: Parents' and kindergarten teachers' views
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript has little scientific significance. The author examined the special case of preschool children's resilience in a basic everyday context. The presented result is valuable in the perspective of the cumulative accumulation of research within the framework of the pedagogical concept outlined by the author. The author indicated the limits of the relevance of the result obtained. The experiment carried out by the author can be considered a preliminary one: the individual conditions for obtaining such a result are established in percentage terms. The value of established facts will increase with further accumulation of data.</p> <p>2. The title of the article well reflects the problem area of research, but is overly generalized. The reviewer recommends specifying the region of study in the title: "Supporting preschool children's resilience at basic daily context: Parents' and kindergarten teachers' views in selected kindergartens in Greece"</p> <p>3. The "Conclusion" section needs to be strengthened. Practical significance should not be conjectural, but affirmative.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5. The author presented a relevant result in the manuscript. The manuscript as a whole is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. The references reflect the field of research well: they are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The author has a good command of academic language and terminology. The reviewer did not find any critical errors in English.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author presented only one conceptual pedagogical position (Supporting preschool children's resilience). This is enough for a little research. As a recommendation for the future, the reviewer advises comparing and evaluating different methodological approaches and taking into account epistemological diversity (for example, the concept of harmonious development, the concept of traditional ethnopedagogy, the concept of advanced child development, etc.).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gennady Bakumenko
Department, University & Country	Likhachev Russian Research Institute for Cultural and Natural Heritage, Russia