

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_113315
Title of the Manuscript:	Sarwālī (Celosia cristata): Medicinal importance in perspective of Unani medicine and pharmacological studies
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	It is considered that they have scientific importance and relevance, especially due to the many contributions to solutions to cure so many medical pathologies. A plant that has so many uses is important to make known these essential characteristics of it	OKAY
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	"Pharmacological study of Sarwālī (Celosia cristata): importance in Unani medicine" is suggested, since we want to make known the importance of the use of this plant	OKAY
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	the applied methodology are missing, and the conclusions reached because the results are that it is the importance of the application of this plant in so many pathologies	OKAY
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	It has an introduction, a fairly summarized methodology, the results as observations, the conclusions and bibliographic references, these are the sections of any article.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	To comply with the essentially scientific objective, a documentary review was carried out where different literatures related to the topic from various authors were compared, which leads us to say that a documentary investigation was carried out to achieve this compendium of results that leads us to provide solutions for the control of known and not so known diseases	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.  (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It has a large reference number but most of them comply with the minimum of 5 years of validity, the most recent is from 2016 and has 8 years of expiration.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is the technical language that we all use.	NOTED
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	