

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_112937
Title of the Manuscript:	A Multi-Stakeholder Perspective on the Limitations of Implementing Artificial Intelligence in Highway Transport
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes this is good for the scientific community and it deals with present trending technology of Artificial intelligent and it deals with transport facilities across the region.</p> <p>Yes I suggest it is good</p> <p>No, it should be explained some technical and rephrase it with good sentences.</p> <p>Yes it is in order as per regulations</p> <p>Yes it is scientifically correct and it should be corrected with good sentences for the introduction paragraph</p> <p>The reference are used more in this paper and I request to minimise the reference in methodology and introduction columns.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes it is good according to the international communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The request is to check the spelling mistakes and rephrase the abstract and precise the conclusion without the hint in the references of the paper. check the highlighted points in the manuscript.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akhil Barapati
Department, University & Country	Aurors's Technological and Research Institute, India