

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_112997
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and yield of mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) influenced by different varieties and spacing
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. YES.</p> <p>2. YES</p> <p>3. YES</p> <p>4. YES</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>#Abstract: it lacks brain storming sentence at the beginning; and also lack recommendation/suggestion of the finding.</p> <p>#Introduction lacks citations. It couldn't indicate the gap for why this experiment had conducted.</p> <p>#Material and methods were presented well.</p> <p>#Result and Discussion: It was documented in shallow; it was not discussed in detail. some parameters were discussed and justified with only one /single citation. Thus, it needs to revised in detail.</p> <p>Conclusion was too specific and suggest only one option.</p> <p>Generally, all other editorial errors and main comments were highlighted on the document for the authors.</p> <p>If the comments were addressed, the manuscript will fulfil publication criteria as per editorial satisfaction.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tesfaye Lishan
Department, University & Country	Mizan Tepi University, Ethiopia