Growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) influenced by different varieties and spacing

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Agricultural Research Farm, Narayan Institute Of Agricultural Sciences, Gopal Narayan Singh University, Jamuhar, Sasaram, Rohtas, during the rabi season of 2022-2023 to access the effect of different varieties and spacing on growth and yield of mustard. Treatment consisted of three varieties RH- 404, DRMR-1165-40 and NRCM-101 and three spacing viz. 30×10 cm, 40×10 cm and 50×10 cm. Experiment was conducted under randomized block design with three replications. The result of experiment showed that growthviz.plant height , dry weight and yield attributesviz, number of siliqua/plant, length of siliqua (cm),test weight, grain yield(kg/ha) and harvest index (%) and yield were significantly affected due to different treatment combination. The maximum plant height (179.60cm), dry weight (38.49 g/plant)at harvest, number of siliqua(395.33), length of siliqua(6.54 cm), test weight (6.50 g) , grain yield (2286.67 Kg/ha) and harvest index (34.80 %) was recorded in treatment combination of NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm.

Keywords :Mustard, Varieties, Spacing, Yield attributes and Yield

INTRODUCTION

Indian mustard belongs to cruciferae family, genus *Brassica* and species *juncea*. Approximately 20–22% of all oilseeds produced in India are mustard, making it the second most important oilseed crop after soybeans.In the world in 2019–20, there were 35.95 million hectares (mha), 71.49 million tonnes (mt), and 1990 kg/ha of rapeseed–mustard produced.It is anticipated that 36.57 million tonnes of oilseeds will be produced overall in 2020–21. With 11.12% of the global rapeseed-mustard production, India ranks third in the world behind China and Canada. In 2020–21, India produced 305.44 million tonnes of food grains, a record. Of all agricultural commodities produced worldwide, vegetable oil accounts for one of the largest percentages (40%) of output. India is the world's biggest importer of edible oils, bringing in $10.5 billion, ahead of the United States and China (**Choudhary*et al.*, 2021**).In India, mustard is grown on approximately 6.70 million hectares, with a production of 10.21 million tonnes and a productivity of 1524 kilograms per hectare.Haryana has the highest productivity of mustard 2028 kg/ha.**(Directorate of Economics & Statistics, DAC & FW, 2020-2021).**Plant density is

Comment [w1]: Small letter

Comment [w2]: spring

Comment [w3]: delete

Comment [w4]: ??

Comment [w5]: Its length was …

Comment [w6]: Only yield attributes

Comment [w7]: and

an important cultural practice that determines, number of siliqua and other growth attributes of Mustard. Improved varieties of mustard are capable of higher yields when grown under optimum row spacing. Therefore, the present study was carried out to find optimum spacing and variety.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during the *Rabi* season 2022-23 at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, NIAS, GNSU,Jamuhar, Rohtas. The Crop Research Farm is situated at 24^0 56' N latitude, 84^0 6' E longitude from the sea level. This area is situated on the right side of the river *Sone* and by the opposite side of Sasaram city. The meteorological data including the weekly average of maximum 32.5°C and minimum 5°C temperature, relative humidity. The treatment consisted of three varieties RH- 404, DRMR-1165-40 and NRCM-101 and three spacing viz. 30cm ×10 cm, 40 cm ×10 cm and 50 cm ×10 cm. treatment combination of RH-404 + 30 cm × 10 cm , RH-404 + 40 cm × 10 cm , RH-404 + 50 cm × 10 cm , DRMR-1165-40 + 30 cm × 10 cm, DRMR-1165-40 + 40 cm × 10 cm , DRMR-1165-40 + 50 cm × 10 cm, NRCM-101 + 30 cm × 10 cm, NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm and NRCM-101 + 50 cm × 10 cm.PresentExperiment was conducted under randomized block design with three replications and total 27 plots made under experiment. The crop was sown on 17 /11/2022 by using seed rate of mustard 6 kg/ha. The gross plot size was 4.5 m × 3.6 m (16.2 m^2). The row to row and plant to plant spacing were maintained according to the treatments.Urea, NPK (12:32:16) and muriate of potash used to supply 80 kg N, 40 Kg Phosphorus and 40 kg/haMuriateused to supply recommended dose of fertilizer for mustard crop.Fertilizer requirement were calculated on the basis of individual plot size and full dose of NPK (12:32:16), muriate of potash and half dose of urea applied as a basal dose at the time of sowing and remaining dose of urea applied as a top dressing. Height of the five randomly selected plants was measured with the help of meter scale from soil surface to the apex during the harvest and the mean values were taken. the samples were oven dried at 70 °C for 72 hours and weighed by electronic balance.

Comment [w8]: they should inserted in tables

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth Attributes of mustard

Plant height (cm)

Plant height of mustard were significantly affected due different varieties and spacing at harvest.However, maximum plant height (179.60 cm) was recorded in treatment combination of NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm which was statistically at par with treatment combination of RH-404 + 40 cm × 10 cm (173.17 cm).The probability in increase in plant height due to widest plant spacing might be due to the fact that the increased spacing between plants resulted in, sun-light, nutrients and soil moisture for increased photosynthesis, metabolic activities, growth and development**Sondhiya*et al*. (2019) and Kumar *et al*., (2021).**Plant height under wider intra row spacing was due to suppression of apical dominance as against closer spacing which include more vertical growth due to congestion of plant/area. The results corroborated the findings of**Dhongade*et al*. (2019) Gopale*et al*.(2021) and Sapkal*et al*.(2021).**This would be increase the metabolic process and promotes the meristematic activities caused apical growth and resulted in increased plant height**Reddy *et al*. (2020).**

Dry weight (g/plant)

Dry weight of mustard were significantly affected by different varieties and spacing at harvest. However maximum dry weight of**(**38.49 g) was recorded in treatment combination of NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm. which wasstatistically at parwith treatment combination of RH-404 + 40 cm × 10 cm (37.01 g), DRMR-1165-40 + 30 cm × 10 cm (36.77 g).

Better growth observed under wide row spacing compared to closer spacing might be due to efficient use of light, soil moisture and nutrients under wider spacing. Results are in conformity with findings of **Pandey *et al.* (2015).**

The increasement of plant height might be due to relatively competition free environments, more availability of nutrients, light interception, efficient use of soil moisture and space under lower degree of inter plant competition ultimately leads to increased photosynthesis of production more dry matter/plant. The present results conformation was observed by **Beulah and Umesha (2022).**

Yield attributes of mustard

Number of siliqua/plant of mustard was significantly influenced by different varieties and spacing (Table 1). However the maximum number of siliqua **(**395.33) was recorded under the treatment combination of NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm. which was statisticallyat par with treatment combination of RH-404 + 40 cm × 10 cm (381.87), DRMR-1165-40 + 30 cm × 10 cm (361.67), DRMR-1165-40 + 40 cm × 10 cm (312.13), NRCM-101 + 30 cm × 10 cm (272.53) and RH-404 + 30 cm × 10 cm (227.67).Higher number of Siliquae/plant might have been possible due to more vigour and strength attained by the plants as a result of better photosynthetic activities with sufficient availability of light, and supply of nutrients in balanced quantity of the plants at growing stages.**Anjana*et al.*, (2020) observed the similar results.**

Length of siliqua (cm)

Length of siliqua of mustard was significantly affected by different varieties and spacing (table 1). However, maximum length of siliqua **(**6.54 cm) was recorded in treatment combination of NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm.

Test weight (g)

Test weight of mustard was significantly influenced by different varieties and spacing (Table 1). However the maximum test weight of mustard **(**6.50 g) was recorded under the treatment combination of NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm. which was statistically at par with treatment combination of RH-404 + 40 cm × 10 cm (6.37 g), DRMR-1165-40 + 30 cm × 10 cm (6.03 g).

Higher vigour and growth attained by the plants due to sufficient absorption of nutrients might have resulted in higher test weight **Keerthi*et al.* (2017)**

Yield

Grain yield (kg/ha)

Grain yield of mustard were significantly affected by different varieties and spacing. However,the highest grain yield **(**2286.67 kg/ha) was recorded in treatment combination of NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm. which was statistically at par with treatment combination of RH-404 + 40 cm × 10 cm (2266.67 kg/ha), RH-404 + 30 cm × 10 cm (2153.33 kg/ha), DRMR-

1165-40 + 30 cm × 10 cm (2260.00 kg/ha), DRMR-1165-40 + 40 cm × 10 cm (2196.67 kg/ha), NRCM-101 + 30 cm × 10 cm (2176.67 kg/ha).The increase in grain yield was mainly due to increase in the plant population per unit area due to closer spacing between plants. Although wider spacing rows the yield attributes where the plants received increased space, light, nutrients and moisture. Similar results were also reported by**Sondhiya*et al.*,(2019) and Tanwar*et al.*,(2022).**This might be attributed to the higher number of branches/plant, number of siliqua/plant, number of seeds/siliqua, test weight and over allvigourus growth of plant. The similar results were also reported by **Singh *et al.*(2017).**The optimum spacing helped plant to receive sufficient amount of heat, water and nutrients from soil which increased number of siliqua/plant, seeds/siliqua and test weight which directly helped in increase of seed yield in mustard. The results were similar to **Sai *et al.* (2022).**

Harvest index (%)

Harvest index of mustard was significantly influenced by different varieties and spacing table no. 1. However, the maximum harvest index percentage(34.80) was recorded under the treatment combination of NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm. which was statistically at par with treatment combination of all treatment combination except NRCM-101 + 50 cm × 10 cm (30.57).Due to greater transportation of assimilates to the economic sink as compared to biological sinks. This is in conformity with the findings of**Somondal*et al.* (2014).**

Table : 1.Growth and yield mustard influenced by different varieties and spacing.

Treatments	Growth Attributes of mustard		Yield attributes			Yield	Harvest index (%)
	Plant height at harvest	Dry weight/ plant (g) at harvest	Number of siliqua/plant	Length of siliqua (cm)	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (kg/ha)	
RH-404 + 30 cm × 10 cm	163.69	33.52	227.67	5.21	5.20	2153.33	32.63
RH-404 + 40 cm × 10 cm	173.17	37.01	381.87	5.48	6.37	2266.67	34.50
RH-404 + 50 cm × 10 cm	160.07	32.30	194.27	5.07	5.10	2146.67	32.30
DRMR-1165-40 + 30 cm × 10 cm	169.53	36.77	361.67	5.41	6.03	2260.00	33.90
DRMR-1165-40 + 40 cm × 10 cm	167.03	35.48	312.13	5.29	5.90	2196.67	33.47
DRMR-1165-40 + 50 cm × 10 cm	159.59	35.07	175.40	5.01	4.98	2143.33	32.20
NRCM-101 + 30 cm × 10 cm	164.73	34.53	272.53	5.27	5.87	2176.67	33.23
NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm	179.60	38.49	395.33	6.54	6.50	2286.67	34.80
NRCM-101 + 50 cm × 10 cm	152.17	31.92	167.60	5.00	4.77	1853.33	30.57
SEM±	2.93	0.74	62.84	0.33	0.48	45.55	0.92
CD	8.77	2.23	188.42	1.01	1.46	137.73	2.78

Conclusion:

Based on present study it is concluded that treatment combination NRCM-101 + 40 cm × 10 cm were found best in respect to growth and yield.

References:

Agricultural Statistics at a glance, 2022. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Department of Agriculture, Commerce and Farmer's Welfare, Government of India.

Beulah, S. and Umesha, C. 2022. Effect of Row Spacing and Zinc on Growth and Yield of Mustard (*Brassica juncea*L.*). International Journal of Plant & Soil Science.* 34(16): 115-120.

Choudhary, R.L., Langadi, A.K., Jat, R.S., Anupama, Singh. H.V., Meena, M.D., Dotaniya, M.L., Meena, M.K., Premi, O.P. and Rai, P.K. 2021. Mitigating the moisture stress in Indian mustard (B. *juncea*) through polymer. *J Oilseed Brassica* 12: 21–27.

Dhongade, A.P., R.D. Deotale, B. Nair, N.D. Jadav. and V.A. Guddhe. 2019. Assessment of M_4 Indian Mustard mutants for morpho-physiological variability and yield attributes. *J. Soils and Crops.*29 (1) : 140-145.

Gopale, R., R.D. Deotale, A.N. Raut, S.R. Patil and S.R. Kamdi. 2021. Response of different sowing dates on morpho-physiological parameters and yield in mustard genotypes. *J. Soils and Crops.* 31 (2) : 297-304.

Keerthi, P., Pannu, R.K., Dhaka, A.K. and Chaudhary, K. 2017. Effect of Sowing Time and Nitrogen on Growth, Yield and Nutrient Uptake by Indian Mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*) Under Western Haryana. *Chemical Science Review and Letters.* 6 (24) : 2526-2532

Kumar, P.P., Singh, R., Khan, W. and Naik, S. 2021. Effect of Sulphur and Row Spacing on Growth and Yield of Yellow Mustard (*Sinapisalba*). *Biological Forum –An International Journal* 13(3): 139-143

Nautiyal, A., Barthwal., A. and Saxena, Dr. A.K. (2020). Growth and yield attributes of mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.), Var. pant Brassicca-21 scheduled on irrigation level and row spacing. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry.* 9 (2) : 300-303.

Pandey, N, Kumar, S., &Singh , G. 2015. Effect of Planting geometry on growth and yield of mustard varieties. *Int. J. Farm. Sci.*, 5(2):47-52.

Ranabhat, G., Tiwari, P., Dhakal, A., Oli, P.,Chapagain,A. and Neupane, S. 2021. Effect of sowing dates on different rapeseed varieties under rain fed condition. *Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources.*4(1):176-190.

Rathore S.S, Shekhawat K, Premi O.P and Kandpal B.K. 2014. Micro-irrigation and fertigation improve gas exchange, productivity traits and economics of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under semi-arid conditions. *Australian Journal of Crop Science.* 8 (4) : 582–95

Reddy, D.A., Singh, R., Khan, W. and Chhetri, P. 2020. Efficiency of Sulphur and Row Spacing on Growth and Yield Attributes of Mustard (*Brassica campestris*) *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences.* 9 (12) : 3398-3402

Sai, G.G., Mehera, B. and Reddy, S.R. 2022. Effect of nitrogen and row spacing on growth and yield of mustard (*Brassica juncea*L.).*The Pharma Innovation Journal* 11(5): 1891-1895

Sapkal, A.D., S.R. Kamdi, B. Nair, R.D. Deotale., P.D. Raut, S.S. Bhure and J.M. Parbat. 2021. Hererosis for seed yield and yield contributing characters in Indian mustard (*BrassicaJuncea* L.). *J. Soils and Crops*. 31 (1) : 152-157.

Singh, S.P., Singh, R.A., Yadav, V.R., Chaudhary, S. and Kumar, A. 2017. Effect of Different Nutrient Combinations on Yield and Quality of Mustard Varieties (*Brassica juncea* L.).*Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci* 6(2): 1343-1347.

Somondal, P., Haldar, P. and Ray, M. 2014. Performance of Different Rapeseed-Mustard Varieties Gangetic Plains of West Bengal India. *Plant Archives*14 (1) : 479-481.

Sondhiya, R., Pandey, R. and Namdeo, K.N. 2019. Effect of plant spacings on growth, yield and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) genotypes. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research.* 21(2): 172-176.

Tanwar, U.S., Jat, R.S., Verma, S.R., Nitharwal, S.S., Verma, J.K. and Yadav, K.D. 2022.Effect of plant geometry on yield and economics of transplanted Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*).*The Pharma Innovation Journal*; 11(1) : 1577-1579