

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_112176</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Advances and Emerging Trends in Horticultural Production and Management</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript could be important for the scientific community after major corrections are done to improve on the quality of work. Please refer to the annotated manuscript for details on corrections needed.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract could be improved by including relevant key findings and conclusions.</p> <p>The structure or content needs to be thoroughly reworked. Please refer to the annotated manuscript for details on corrections needed.</p> <p>This aspect can be improved. Please refer to the annotated manuscript for details on corrections needed.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and some are fairly recent. However, the authors might improve them and strictly follow the journal style of referencing. Please refer to the annotated manuscript for details on corrections needed.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>This aspect needs to be improved for suitability for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript needs major correction before resubmission.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Prince Emmanuel Norman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sierra Leone Agricultural Research Institute, Sierra Leone</b>