

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_112961
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Shiga-toxin-producing Escherichia coli from milk samples of some cattle farms Al-Buḥayrah Governorate Egypt
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>It could be modified so as to encompass all areas of the research work being carried out. ( Antimicrobial resistance and virulence profiling of shiga toxin producing Escherichia coli from bovine milk samples)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The details regarding primers and the method used for identification of virulent genes can be elaborated. Gel Doc images of the PCR can be given.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>More recent references can be added. Ensure that the pattern of citing referncs comply with that of the concerned journal.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English has to be improved in certain areas so that the idea can be easily and clearly conveyed to the scientific community.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The work is an excellent and elaborative work which is of great significance in today's scenario. However, many results are just vaguely written. Eventhough, it was mentioned that statistical tests were being done, its results and significance values are not being mentioned in tables. This has to be taken care of that increases the beauty and readership of the article.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Krupa Rose Jose</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, India</b>