

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_112343
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of historic trends for monthly, seasonal, and annual rainfall series of Tenkasi, Tamil Nadu, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Its important The title is suitable. The abstract is not complete, objective, results, discussion and conclusion not well summarized There is need of proper sub-sectioning in materials and methods Manuscript need reworking; especially the aspect where the rainfall pattern was linked to livelihood e.g., Agriculture at the introduction but there was no furthering in discussion and conclusion. The references are recent. The article is not well elaborated in introduction, discussion and conclusion.	We thank the reviewer for taking the time to review this manuscript. The detailed responses below and the corresponding corrections highlighted in the re-submitted file. As suggested, we have modified abstract, summarised the conclusions. We have modified the materials and methods and included the sub-sections. We have reworked as suggested in the manuscript As suggested, we have given elaborated discussions and conclusions
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There is need to relook on the tense	We have thoroughly checked the grammar and done the corrections.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	