

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_113319
Title of the Manuscript:	Activities of organic extracts of <i>Cassia occidentalis</i> leaves on the mortality and fecundity of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i> (main insect pest of <i>Vigna unguiculata</i> seed stocks)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is good. But, more work is required for its relevance in scientific community</p> <p>Evaluation of the extracts of <i>Cassia occidentalis</i> leaves on the mortality and fecundity of <i>Callosobruchus maculatus</i></p> <p>No. the abstract should be broken into; Aims, study design, methodology, results and conclusion No. there is no proper structuring of the manuscript. The author need to work on that.</p> <p>Yes. But, a lot of things need to be put in order.</p> <p>Insufficient references, most of them are not too out-dated. The literatures reviewed were too scanty.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No. there's a lot of tenses and grammar error. This needed to be addressed	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The arrangement of the manuscript is very poor. So, I advise thorough restructuring of the manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dauda J. A.
Department, University & Country	Kogi State University, Nigeria