

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_112577</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IMPACT OF HOME ENVIRONMENT ON SPIRITUAL INTELLIGENCE OF PRIMARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN BHUTAN</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Based on my review, this manuscript on exploring the relationship between home environment and spiritual intelligence among primary school students in Bhutan does seem to offer some important contributions to the scientific community. Here are a few thoughts on the significance of this research:</b> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>The topic addresses an understudied area concerning the development of spiritual intelligence in children and the potential role of the home environment. Much of the existing research has focused on academic intelligence and cognitive development. Examining spiritual intelligence provides a more holistic perspective.</b></li> <li>b. <b>Studying this relationship within the specific context of Bhutan is valuable given the central role spirituality plays in Bhutanese culture and education. The findings could provide insights specific to supporting children's growth in this environment.</b></li> <li>c. <b>The research examines spiritual intelligence and home environment differences between genders. This contributes knowledge on the potential gender-based variations in these areas during childhood.</b></li> <li>d. <b>The study offers practical implications for educators, parents, and policymakers in terms of strategies to support both the academic and spiritual development of primary school students.</b></li> <li>e. <b>Overall, investigating the complex interplay between home environment, spiritual intelligence, and gender in shaping child development provides beneficial insights into an important but understudied area. The findings stand to meaningfully inform approaches to nurturing children's well-being and growth.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>In summary, this research centers on an important topic and makes contributions by addressing gaps in the existing literature, providing context-specific insights, and offering practical implications relevant to primary education stakeholders. Examining this intersection of factors represents a valuable avenue for expanding knowledge and supporting children's holistic development.</b></p> </li> <li>2. <b>Based on my review, the current title "Impact of Home Environment on Spiritual Intelligence of Primary School Students in Bhutan" seems appropriate and aligns well with the focus of the study.</b> Some key thoughts:             <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <b>The title clearly conveys the main variables being examined - home environment and spiritual intelligence.</b></li> <li>b. <b>It specifies the target population of primary school students in Bhutan. This provides helpful context.</b></li> <li>c. <b>Using the term "impact" suggests the study is investigating the influence or relationship between home environment and spiritual intelligence. This matches the stated objectives.</b></li> <li>d. <b>The title is concise yet informative regarding the key aspects of the research.</b></li> </ol> </li> </ol>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

	<p>However, the current title effectively communicates the core focus and variables of the study. Unless you feel an alternative title better captures the essence and goals of the research, the existing title seems suitable. Let me know if you have any other thoughts or feedback on improving the title further! The most important thing is that the title accurately represents the study's purpose and scope.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>3. Overall, the abstract covers the major methodological aspects and provides context about the significance of the research. Including a concise summary of the results and delimitations would further improve comprehensiveness. But on the whole, it serves as a suitable overview of the study and its components for readers.</li><li>4. Overall, the use of subsections aligns with conventions for a quantitative paper and contributes to the logical flow. The structure orientates readers effectively. To further improve, consider adding a limitations subsection in the conclusion. But overall, the clear organization and structure represent a strength of the manuscript.</li><li>5. Overall, the manuscript exhibits indicators of scientific correctness in its design, methods, measurement tools, analysis, and use of existing literature. Addressing the few potential improvements noted would further strengthen the scientific merit. But fundamentally, the research approach aligns with accepted scientific standards and practices.</li></ol>	
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

**Review Form 1.7**

<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Some areas for improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The literature review contains some repetitive sentences. Synthesizing information more would enhance conciseness.</li> <li>• The conclusion's tone is slightly informal in places. Using more scholarly phrasing would be preferable.</li> <li>• There is occasional overuse of passive voice. Transforming some sentences to active voice could impart clarity.</li> <li>• Abbreviations should be spelled out fully upon first use. For example, SQ for spiritual intelligence.</li> </ul> <p>Overall, the manuscript exhibits proficient use of scholarly, scientific language to convey the research study. Minor edits to further refine conciseness, tone, sentence construction, and formatting would help polish the communication quality. But fundamentally, the English level is appropriate for disseminating the work in academic forums or publications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>While the article has a solid foundation and makes a valuable contribution to examining the relationship between home environment and spiritual intelligence in primary school students, there are a few minor limitations to note.</p> <p>One area that could be improved is the literature review. While relevant studies are summarized, the review could benefit from additional synthesis and analysis to identify key themes, gaps in the research, and opportunities for the current study to build upon prior work. As it stands, the literature review is more of a sequential overview of pertinent studies rather than an integrated critique.</p> <p>Regarding the methodology, more details on the specific sampling technique and a stronger rationale for why the chosen methods are optimal would enhance the scientific rigor. The data collection tools are appropriate, but presenting reliability and validity evidence from the current sample would lend more credibility.</p> <p>In analyzing the results, the researcher does a satisfactory job applying statistical tests and interpreting the findings. However, more commentary is needed to extract the deeper meaning and implications of the results in relation to the research aims. This would elevate the analysis from mainly numerical to more holistic.</p> <p>Finally, the conclusion could be strengthened by directly highlighting the study's limitations and outlining recommendations for future research directions based on the findings. This would demonstrate thoughtful reflection and consideration of how to advance knowledge on the topic.</p> <p>In summary, while no major flaws compromise the essence of the research, addressing these areas of refinement would help strengthen the scholarly merit of the article as a whole. However, the study offers a valuable investigation of an understudied area and makes a contribution to the literature.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rlvo Panji Yudha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Panca Sakti Universiti Bekasi, Indonesia</b>