

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_111810</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>FACTORS AFFECTING THE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF THE MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENTS OF RAIGARH, CHHATTISGARH (INDIA) DEPENDING UPON THE SOCIO ECONOMIC OF THE PERSONS</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The title is somewhat lengthy and could be more concise. Consider rephrasing for clarity and precision, such as "Impact of Socio-Economic Factors on Middle School Students' Academic Performance in Raigarh, Chhattisgarh, India."</li> <li>• The abstract repeats the idea that socio-economic factors affect academic performance multiple times. Consider streamlining the language to avoid redundancy and improve readability.</li> <li>• The abstract could benefit from providing a brief overview of the specific socio-economic factors under consideration, providing a clearer context for the study.</li> <li>• The abstract uses both "socio-economic" and "socioeconomic" interchangeably. Standardize the terminology throughout the abstract.</li> <li>• The conclusion part of the abstract could be strengthened by summarizing the key findings and their implications more explicitly.</li> <li>• The methodology lacks details on the criteria used to select the five Higher Secondary Schools. Specify the rationale behind choosing these schools to ensure the representativeness of the sample.</li> <li>• The methodology should provide a rationale for selecting 412 students, addressing why this number is sufficient for the study's objectives.</li> <li>• While the Socio-economic Status Scale (SESS) is mentioned, there's no discussion about its reliability and validity. Briefly address these aspects to ensure the tool's credibility.</li> <li>• Describe the process of administering the questionnaire and recording academic achievement more thoroughly. Clarify any steps taken to ensure data accuracy and reliability.</li> <li>• The tables are well-organized, but the presentation lacks a narrative that connects the findings to the research questions or hypotheses. Consider integrating the results into a coherent discussion.</li> <li>• The interpretation of the t-test results lacks depth. Instead of merely stating the statistical significance, provide a nuanced discussion of the implications of the findings in the context of the research questions.</li> <li>• The analysis focuses on the difference in academic achievement between boys and girls, but the discussion could explore potential reasons behind the lack of significant differences. Are there other factors influencing academic performance not considered in the study?</li> <li>• The conclusion is somewhat vague and could benefit from a clearer summary of the main findings. Avoid using overly general statements; instead, directly address the research questions or hypotheses.</li> <li>• The conclusion could discuss the generalizability of the findings. Address whether the results are specific to the study area or if they have broader implications for other regions or contexts.</li> <li>• Mention any limitations of the study, such as the scope or tools used. Additionally, provide recommendations for future research or practical applications based on the study's outcomes.</li> </ul>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		

**Review Form 1.7**

Optional/General comments		
---------------------------	--	--

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mark M. Alipio
Department, University & Country	College of Radiologic Technology, Iligan Medical Center College, Philippines