

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_112079
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF NUTRITIONAL AND CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF FEACES FROM FIVE DIFERENT FARM ANIMALS FOR FARM USE
Type of the Article	Original research article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Research topic is important for scientific community provided detailed research is carried out on safety aspects on feeding animals as the feaces could contain many microbial communities which are harmful.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Could be made better by incorporating few statistical values from the result tables.</p> <p>Yes. Conclusions and future recommendations can be written in paragraphs instead of points.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Only one paper published in 2023 is cited. Recommended to cite few more papers.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Needs grammar corrections.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is very elementary as it involves only two experiments. Authors should have cited references within the results and discussion. Methodology is very short.It would be nice if authors incorporate microbial analysis of the sample.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashoka Hadagali
Department, University & Country	BMS College of Engineering, India