

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_112079
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF NUTRITIONAL AND CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF FEACES FROM FIVE DIFERENT FARM ANIMALS FOR FARM USE
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript will inform the scientific community on the comparative analysis of the nutritional and chemical composition of faeces from five different farm animals for farm use, this will assist in different nutritional composition in places required for different purposes.</p> <p>2. The title is relevant as it clearly outlines the main objective of the study.</p> <p>3. There is a chronological flow of the contents in the abstract, within the abstract there is the purpose of the study. Those are the key elements of the abstract.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. The methods used are scientifically correct, however, the statistical analysis of the results has not been included or stated.</p> <p>7. The references are relevant, however, search for more references that can explain or justify the content.</p> <p>There are slight grammar and spelling mistakes which can be corrected by simple spell check. More recent references can be used as there is only one reference for 2023 and the rest are quite older. There can be more recent references used.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, only once spell and grammar check is required.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>No</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abhishek Sonkar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>ANDUA&amp;T, India</b>