

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_112776
Title of the Manuscript:	VARIATION IN SEED QUALITY PARAMERTES OF OFF-SEASON SOYBEAN OF DIFFERENT PLANTING DATES AND VARIETIES.
Type of the Article	Experimental Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Manuscript showed significant importance of scientific community due to seed testing interaction with different dates</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Major references are incorporated in the manuscript, If may possible to add some current reference.</p> <p>1. Seed vigour index and interaction with different dates of sowing is emphasised to seed health. It would be greater impact if may be represented by some graphs in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. Discussion part of the manuscript showed deficiencies it may improve with their references.</p> <p>3. Over all original experiment was conducted by the author and institution.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes with some minor correction</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Manuscript is accepted with minor correction in the manuscript along with cross checked the parenthesis.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satyapal Singh
Department, University & Country	Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalay, India