

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111895
Title of the Manuscript:	PROBING BEHAVIOUR OF BROWN PLANT HOPPER NILAPARVATA LUGENS (STAL.) IN THE RESISTANT GERmplasm ACCESSIONS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yeah! The Manuscript is important to the scientific community and also to expand knowledge towards the systematics to determine the resistance of Rice to the brown grasshopper.</p> <p>In my opinion, the title of the article is in line with what was carried out in the study.</p> <p>The summary perfectly details what was done in the article, as well as the data reflected in the tables.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct. By knowing the procedures used in the study we realize the meticulous work carried out and the systematics.</p> <p>The References used in the article are those necessary. And even in studies you can use a few. This has a better presentation if it is numbered in bold.</p> <p>The work is very well oriented, however, despite the multiple drafting details that are not relevant because they are minor, the work is well formulated, but despite the fact that the research leads us to a new study using accessions of ENRRD rice germplasm to determine its resistance to brown grasshopper, BPH, in a greenhouse by adopting an internationally accepted standard seed box selection technique.</p> <p>This work should be published immediately after the final minor fixes have been made because it is very good to argue that the germplasm accessions, namely IC 343457, IC 300168 and IC 377051, were highly resistant and possess a non-preferential resistance mechanism and BPH, i.e., they do not prefer them for feeding.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The details are easy and quick to correct to give a better sense to the writing of the article and thus broaden its understanding, without giving relevance to the number of corrections detected and improved in order to perfect this article to be published.</p> <p>In the revision work, and when translating the article, I realized that the English language is perfectly adequate for academic communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article shows us a study process on which the capabilities of rice resistance to the brown grasshopper pest are tested.</p> <p>Examine the article carefully before publishing it, since the corrections, although few in number, do not affect the publication, therefore you must fine-tune the final touches so that the satisfactory publication is carried out in favor of knowledge about the identification of the resistance levels of the Rice around the attack of the Brown Grasshopper.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rigoberto Alarcon Rojas
Department, University & Country	Universidad Politecnica Territorial De Merida "Kleber Ramirez", Venezuela