

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_111895
Title of the Manuscript:	PROBING BEHAVIOUR OF BROWN PLANT HOPPER NILAPARVATA LUGENS (STAL.) IN THE RESISTANT GERMPASM ACCESSIONS
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it's important for the scientific community, as well as it's beneficial because it's discussed about the major food product in India. Most of the farmer families are dependent on this product for a living.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is appropriately suitable.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract of the article is completely comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriately, quite changes suggested in minor comments.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Yes, references are sufficient and try to add some recent ones. Some rferences are not as per the journal, work on the same.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Author should add the proper keywords.</li> <li>• Add digital images or pictures, which representing the experimental section as well as result section.</li> <li>• Results must be present with the support of graphical representation.</li> <li>• Write the proper/ modify conclusion.</li> </ul>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>D.S. Bansod</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Shri. Govindrao Munghate College, India</b>