

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111769
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Microbial Inoculants and their Consortia on Yield and Nutrient Uptake in Maize Grown on Vertisol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b>  <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	  1. <b>Yes, the</b> application of consortium recommended dose of fertilizers performed as the best consortium for enhancing seed and stover yield of maize. 2. <b>Yes.</b> 3. <b>Yes, but the font should be of unity.</b> 4. <b>Yes.</b> 5. <b>Yes. The author(s) should try to present the effects on a descriptive distribution.</b> 6. <b>Yes, they are recent and recommending. But there was a repetition in "Nosheen, S., Ajmal, I., Song, Y. (2021) Microbes as Biofertilizers, a Potential Approach for Sustainable Crop Production. Sustainability, 13(4):1868".</b>  Additionally, the author(s) should avoid unnecessary abbreviations such as in the use of prepositions (e.g. should be written as example, @ should be written in full as "at"). Moreover, current Reference edition will be recommended for the author(s) to use.	  1. Yes, <b>the</b> application of consortium recommended dose of fertilizers performed as the best consortium for enhancing seed and stover yield of maize.  2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes 6. Yes it is
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Intermediately, English usage should be improved.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Generally, the editor should accept the article under a condition that the author (s) should effect the necessary corrections.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	