

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_111769
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Microbial Inoculants and their Consortia on Yield and Nutrient Uptake in Maize Grown on Vertisol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<div>1. Yes, it is</div> <div>2. Yes, it is</div> <div>3. Yes, it is, but keyword should be Written by alphabetic</div> <div>4. Yes, it is</div> <div>5. Yes. I do</div> <div>6. Yes, it is</div> Comments : <div>1) Captions for tables to be included in paragraphs, for example : Nutrient uptake (NPK) of maize was significantly influenced by microbial inoculants and their consortia with RDF. The grain NPK uptake ranges from 57 to 203.08; 7.73 to 35.07 and 8.58 to 64.92 kg ha⁻¹ (Table 2)</div> <div>2) Data should be displayed in graphical form with different colors for each treatment</div> <div>3) in the discussion, it must explain why such results were obtained, not just show the results</div>	<div>1. Yes, it is</div> <div>2. Yes, it is</div> <div>3. Yes</div> <div>4. Yes, it is</div> <div>5. Yes</div> <div>6. Yes, it is</div>
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, It is suitable	Yes, it is Suitable
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	