

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_113264
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluating the Antifeedant Effects of Andrographolide-based Formulations against Lampides boeticus L under Laboratory Condition
Type of the Article	Original research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>REVIEW comments required</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences in this manuscript) R/ Yeah. TOPIC RELATED TO AGRICULTURE ON PEST CONTROL. well-structured article. Is the article title appropriate? (If not, please suggest an alternative title) R/ THE TITLE IS APPROPRIATE ACCORDING TO THE RESEARCH TOPIC. Is the article summary comprehensive? R/ SUMMARY WELL STRUCTURED AND OVERALL WITH ALL THE NECESSARY ITEMS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE JIURNAL GUIDELINES. FEW SIGNS. Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? R/ MANUSCRIPT WELL DIVIDED INTO SUBSECTIONS, FEW ERRORS. MAJOR ERRORS IN THE NOMENCLATURE OF THE SPECIES USED IN THE STUDY. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? R/ THE MANUSCRIPT IS SCIENTIFICALLY CORRECT. CONTAINS ALL THE ITEMS NECESSARY FOR A SCIENTIFIC ARTICLE. FEW ERRORS. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention in the review form. R/ THE REFERENCES WERE CORRECTLY INTRODUCED IN THE TEXT AND THE TOTAL AND CORRESPOND WITH THE TOTAL LISTED AT THE END OF THE ARTICLE. SOME ERRORS IN THE REFERENCES. THERE WERE 19 REFERENCES OF THEM 60% UPDATED. <p>(In addition to the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) R/ THIS ARTICLE WAS WELL STRUCTURED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES. ALL THE JOURNAL'S GUIDELINES WERE COMPLETED AND THERE WERE FEW ERRORS IN ITS PREPARATION Minor REVIEW comments</p>	<p>We appreciate the reviewer's thorough evaluation of our manuscript. We are pleased to hear that the research topic, focused on agriculture and pest control, is deemed important to the scientific community. Additionally, we are grateful for the acknowledgment of the appropriateness of the article title and the comprehensive structure of the summary, which adheres to journal guidelines.</p> <p>While we acknowledge some errors in nomenclature of species used in the study, we have made necessary revisions to ensure accuracy. We are reassured by the reviewer's affirmation of the scientific correctness of the manuscript, though we will address any remaining errors diligently.</p> <p>Regarding references, we have ensured that they are correctly integrated into the text and listed accurately at the end of the article. We will review and update the references as needed to enhance the currency of our citations.</p> <p>Overall, we are grateful for the reviewer's positive feedback and constructive criticism, which have guided us in refining our manuscript to meet the journal's standards and contribute meaningfully to the scientific discourse.</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for academic communications? <p>R/ The quality of the language/English of the article is suitable FOR SCIENTIFIC COMMUNICATION</p>	<p>We appreciate the reviewer's assessment of the language and English quality of our article. It is reassuring to hear that the language is deemed suitable for scientific communication. We have made every effort to ensure clarity and precision in our writing to facilitate effective academic discourse. Thank you for acknowledging the language quality of our manuscript.</p>
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	