

# Acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe

Formatted: Numbering: Continuous

**Abstract** – This study aimed to determine the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe among the Senior High School teachers. A descriptive research design using survey technique utilized in this study. The data gathered using an adapted and modified-questionnaire. The statistical tools used are Frequency Count and Percentage Distribution, Mean and Standard Deviation, and Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Results showed that the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe is very acceptable in terms of appearance, color, aroma, taste, and texture. The innovative use of coconut meat as the main ingredient has proven to be well-received, indicating the dish's potential for positive integration into the culinary preferences of this target audience. Further, the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe appears to be universally well-received, with minor distinctions related to educational backgrounds in terms of texture. It is recommended to consider promoting the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe as an innovative and appealing culinary option for school canteen, given its widespread acceptance among Senior High School teachers.

**Keywords:** Coconut Meat *Sisig*, Senior High School teachers, Survey Technique

## I. Introduction

The Philippine culinary landscape is renowned for its diverse and flavorful dishes, influenced by a rich blend of cultural and regional traditions. *Sisig*, a popular Filipino dish originally made with minced pork, has evolved over time, adapting to various ingredients and dietary preferences. In recent years, there has been a growing interest in exploring alternative and sustainable ingredients to create innovative versions of traditional dishes. One such attempt is the development of a Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe.

“Coconut palm, (*Cocos nucifera*), palm of the family *Arecaceae*, cultivated extensively in tropical areas for its edible fruit, the coconut”(The Editors of *Encyclopaedia Britannica*, 2023). It is considered the "tree of life" in the Philippines, has been a staple in Filipino cuisine for generations. Further, “the Philippines also remains to be the second-largest producer of coconut among the Association of Southeast Asian Nations” (ASEAN), wherein it comprises almost 40% of ASEAN’s total coconut production (Philippines Partnership for Sustainable Agriculture, 2020). Its versatile uses extend beyond coconut water and milk, making it an ideal candidate for experimentation in traditional recipes.

This Filipino dish is known for its savory and spicy flavors, presents an exciting opportunity for culinary exploration by replacing the conventional meat with coconut meat. “Based on the Department of Agriculture (DA)’s monitoring of Metro Manila markets, the retail price of ham ranged between P260 and P330 per kilo while pork liempo ranged between P290 and P400 per kilo” (Cariaso, 2023). With the current high price of meat, it is affordable to used an available ingredient that can be found everywhere in the Philippines.

In the context of St. Paul University Surigao, the acceptance and preferences of the teaching faculty, particularly the Senior High School teachers, towards this innovative Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe are yet to be explored. Understanding the factors influencing the acceptability of this alternative *sisig* preparation can provide valuable insights into the potential integration of sustainable and local ingredients into everyday meals. This prompted the researcher to conduct the study to determine the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe among the Senior High School teachers of St. Paul University Surigao.

With this study, it will help to make the known Filipino dish cheaper in terms of the main ingredient and promote the other uses of coconut meat. This research contributes to the evolving landscape of Filipino cuisine by introducing an innovative twist to a beloved dish. Understanding the acceptability factors among Senior High School teachers can inform not only the culinary practices within the university but also contribute valuable insights to the broader discourse on sustainable and locally sourced ingredients in Filipino gastronomy.

57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110

## II. Statement of the Problem

This study aimed to determine the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe among the Senior High School teachers of St. Paul University Surigao. Specifically, it sought to answer the following questions:

1. What is the profile of the respondents in terms of:
  - 1.1. Age;
  - 1.2. Sex; and
  - 1.3. Highest Educational Attainment?
2. What is the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe among the Senior High School teachers in terms of:
  - 2.1. Appearance;
  - 2.2. Color;
  - 2.3. Aroma;
  - 2.4. Taste; and
  - 2.5. Texture?
3. Is there a significant difference of the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe among the Senior High School teachers when grouped according to profile variables?
4. What recommendations may be proposed?

## III. Hypothesis

At 0.05 level of significance, it is hypothesized that there is no significant difference the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe among the Senior High School teachers when grouped according to profile variables.

## IV. Materials and Method

### Research Design

This study utilized descriptive research design using survey technique. It is deemed appropriate since it describes the present phenomena underlying the evaluation by the respondents on Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe in terms of the following parameters: appearance, color, aroma, taste and texture.

### Materials and Ingredients Used

The materials used in cooking the Coconut meat *Sisig* recipe are as follows: stove bowl, frying pan, wooden laddle, chopping board, spoon, knife, fork, and plate. On the other hand, the ingredients utilized are ½ kl coconut meat, 1 cup onion minced, 3 tbsp. soy sauce, 1 tsp. ground black pepper, 1 knob ginger minced, 3 tbsp. chili, 3 to 5 pcs calamansi or 1 pc. lemon, ½ cup butter or margarine, ¼ lb. chicken liver, 34 ounces water, 3tbsp. mayonnaise, and 1 tsp. salt.

### Preparation of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe

To prepare the Coconut Meat *Sisig*, begin by boiling the coconut meat until it reaches a softened consistency. Meanwhile, gather and chop all the required ingredients. In a pan, initiate the cooking process by sautéing onions, garlic, and ginger. Once the coconut meat achieves a semi-cooked state, introduce it to the pan. Enhance the flavors by adding spices such as salt, black pepper, cayenne pepper, soy sauce, among others. Allow the coconut meat to fully cook, ensuring that it absorbs the aromatic blend of spices. Once done, plate the Coconut Meat *Sisig*, presenting a visually appealing, flavorful, and innovative rendition of the traditional Filipino dish.

### Respondents

The respondents of the study are the Senior High School teachers of St. Paul University Surigao, S.Y. 2023-2024. The researcher did not used a sampling technique due to a small number of teachers in the Senior High School. In this reason, the researcher decided to get the total population of 30 teachers.

111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151

**Instrument**

This study adapted a research instrument from the study of Tamundong and Aliguyon (2022). The researcher modified the indicators using the same variables to fit the recipe developed. There are two parts in the research instrument: Part 1 is the profile of the respondents and Part 2 is the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe among the Senior High School teachers. Food experts validated the instrument to ensure that the indicators measure what it intends to measure.

**Data Gathering Procedure**

The researcher requested permission from the school administration to perform the said study. The processes followed by the researcher in the preparation of the Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe and the research questionnaire were given to the respondents via Google Forms. It was retrieved, tallied, tabulated, treated and analyzed.

**Data Analysis**

The data were analyzed through the use of the following statistical tools:

*Frequency Count and Percentage Distribution.* These tools determined the profile of the respondents.

*Mean and Standard Deviation.* These statistical tools determined the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe among the Senior High School teachers.

*Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).* This tool was used to test the significant difference of the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe among the Senior High School teachers when grouped according to profile variables.

**V. Results and Discussions**

**Profile of the Respondents**

Table 1 shows the distribution of the profile of the respondents in terms of *age, sex and highest educational attainment.*

Table 1. *Distribution of the Profile of Respondents*

	<b>Sex</b>	<b>f (30)</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>Age</b>	16 – 23	5	17
	24 – 31	15	52
	32 – 39	5	17
	40 – 48	4	14
<b>Sex</b>	Male	11	38
	Female	18	62
<b>Highest Educational Attainment</b>	College Graduate	14	49
	With Master’s Units	13	45
	Master’s Graduate	1	3
	With Doctoral Units	1	3

As shown from the table in terms of *age*, 15 (52%) respondents belong to 24-31 years old, then 5 (17 %) respondents belong from 16-23 years old & 32-39 years old, and 4 (14%) respondents belong to 40-48 years old. In terms of *sex*, most of the respondents are females, with 18 (62%), while 11 (38%) are males. Considering the *highest educational attainment*, 14 (49%) are college graduate, 13 (45%) with master’s units, 1 (3%) is master’s graduate, and 1 (3%) with doctoral units.

**Level of Acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* Recipe**

152 Table 2 shows the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat Sisig recipe among the Senior  
 153 High School teachers in terms of *appearance, color, aroma, taste, and texture*.  
 154

155 Table 2. *The Level of Acceptability of Coconut Meat Sisig Recipe among the Senior High School*  
 156 *Teachers*

Indicators	M	SD	VI	QD
<b>Appearance</b>				
1. The appearance of the dish prepared with coconut pulp as a meat substitute is visually appealing.	3.34	0.55	SA	VA
2. The dish using coconut pulp is appetizing	3.66	0.61	SA	VA
3. The coconut pulp-based dish resembles that of the ground pork	3.41	0.63	SA	VA
4. The visual similarity between coconut pulp and ground pork is convincing	3.48	0.63	SA	VA
<b>Average</b>	3.47	0.61	SA	VA
<b>Color</b>				
1. It has pleasing golden brown edges	3.66	0.55	SA	VA
2. It has pleasing contrasting colors	3.28	0.53	SA	VA
3. It has light golden brown in color	3.21	0.49	A	A
4. It has aesthetically pleasing color	3.41	0.57	SA	VA
<b>Average</b>	3.39	0.53	SA	VA
<b>Aroma</b>				
1. The sisig has creamy smell	3.61	0.50	SA	VA
2. It has aromatic savor	3.79	0.41	SA	VA
3. It has no foul odor	3.76	0.44	SA	VA
4. The sisig has fresh herby smell	3.38	0.56	SA	VA
<b>Average</b>	3.63	0.48	SA	VA
<b>Taste</b>				
1. It has sweet taste	3.45	0.51	SA	VA
2. It has no after taste	3.24	0.64	A	A
3. it has well blended flavor	3.38	0.62	SA	VA
4. It has creamy taste	3.28	0.53	SA	VA
<b>Average</b>	3.34	0.57	SA	VA
<b>Texture</b>				
1. The sisig has smooth and firmly texture	3.38	0.73	SA	VA
2. It has slightly moist texture	3.28	0.65	SA	VA
3. The sisig is soft	3.24	0.69	A	A
4. The sisig is chewy	3.34	0.61	SA	VA
<b>Average</b>	3.31	0.67	SA	VA
<b>Overall Average</b>	3.43	0.57	SA	VA

Scale	Interval	Verbal Interpretation	Code	Qualitative Description	Code
4	3.25-4.00	Strongly Agree	SA	Very Acceptable	VA
3	2.50-3.24	Agree	A	Acceptable	A
2	1.75-2.49	Disagree	D	Least Acceptable	LA
1	1.00-1.74	Strongly Disagree	SD	Not Acceptable	NA

157 As gleaned from the table, in terms *appearance*, the indicator *The dish using coconut pulp*  
 158 *is appetizing* got the highest mean (M= 3.66 SD=0.61), which can be verbally interpreted as  
 159 *Strongly Agree* and qualitatively described as *Very Acceptable*. The high acceptability in  
 160 appearance may be attributed to the appetizing look of the dish made with coconut pulp, possibly  
 161 due to its presentation and overall aesthetic appeal. It implies that the appearance of the Coconut  
 162 Meat *Sisig* recipe is likely to attract and please the senses of the Senior High School teachers.

163 Meanwhile, the indicator *The appearance of the dish prepared with coconut pulp as a*  
 164 *meat substitute is visually appealing* got the lowest mean (M=3.34 SD=0.55), which can be  
 165 verbally interpreted as *Strongly Agree* and qualitatively described as *Very Acceptable*. The term

166 "visually appealing" in this context implies the overall attractiveness of the dishes when coconut  
167 pulp is used as a meat substitute. While the mean is high, the fact that it is lower than other  
168 attributes, particularly the one related to the dish using coconut pulp, may indicate some  
169 variability in individual preferences or expectations among the respondents.

170 On average, the level of acceptability of Coconut meat *Sisig* recipe among the senior high  
171 school teachers in terms of *Appearance*( $M= 3.47$   $SD=0.61$ ), can be verbally interpreted as  
172 *Strongly Agree* and qualitatively described as *Very Acceptable*.It reinforced the positive sentiment  
173 towards the appearance of the dish. This also suggests a consistent and positive response, with  
174 the standard deviation suggesting a relatively low degree of variability among the respondents.

175 As to the *color*, the indicator *It has pleasing golden brown edges* got a highest mean  
176 ( $M=3.66$   $SD=0.55$ ), which can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly Agree* and qualitatively  
177 described as *Very Acceptable*. This means that the Senior High School teachers fully accepted the  
178 Coconut Meat *Sisig* has a gold brown edge. This contributes positively to the overall color of the  
179 dish. This conveys that the color is not only acceptable but is viewed very favorably. Golden  
180 brown edges often signify desirable qualities in various dishes(McLain, n.d.)

181 However, the indicator *It has light golden brown in color* got the lowest mean ( $M=3.21$   
182  $SD=0.49$ , which can be verbally interpreted as *Agree* and qualitatively described as *Acceptable*.  
183 This means that the Senior High School teachers accepted the Coconut meat *Sisig* recipe has a  
184 light golden brown color. But it is relatively lower score compared to other color-related  
185 attributes, which suggests that some respondents may not find this specific color attribute as  
186 compelling as others. Color perception is inherently subjective and can be influenced by  
187 individual preferences, cultural factors, and personal experiences(Antenor et al., 2022).

188 On average, the level of acceptability of Coconut meat *Sisig* recipe among the senior high  
189 school teachers in terms of *Color*( $M=3.39$   $SD=0.53$ ), can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly*  
190 *Agree* and qualitatively described as *Very Acceptable*. This means that the senior high school  
191 teachers find the color of the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe highly accepted. This further emphasize  
192 the positive perception of the color among the teachers. A lower standard deviation indicates that  
193 the ratings are clustered closely around the mean, reinforcing the idea that there is a general  
194 agreement among the senior high school teachers regarding the acceptability of the color.

195  
196 As to the aroma, the indicator *It has aromatic savor* got a highest mean ( $M=3.79$   
197  $SD=0.41$ ), which can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly Agree* and qualitatively described as  
198 *Very Acceptable*. This means that there is a high acceptability of the aromatic savor of the  
199 coconut meat *Sisig* recipe. This positive response may be attributed to the enticing and aromatic  
200 qualities of the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe, which could contribute to an overall positive dining  
201 experience. However, it's crucial to recognize that personal and cultural preferences can influence  
202 perceptions of aroma(Antenor et al., 2022).

203  
204 On one hand, the indicator *The sisig has fresh herby smell* got a lowest mean ( $M=3.38$   
205  $SD=0.56$ ), which can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly Agree* and qualitatively described as  
206 *Very Acceptable*. This means that the senior high school teachers find a positive overall sentiment  
207 toward the fresh herby smell of the Coconut Meat *Sisig*. It also indicates that despite being  
208 slightly lower in mean compared to other indicators, it is still considered accepted by the  
209 respondents. This could imply that the fresh herby smell contributes positively to the sensory  
210 experience of the Coconut meat *Sisig*, although with some variability in individual preferences.

211  
212 On average, the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe among the senior high  
213 school teachers in terms of *Aroma* ( $M=3.63$   $SD=0.48$ ), can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly*  
214 *Agree* and qualitatively described as *Very Acceptable*. This suggests that the senior high school  
215 teachers have a positive evaluation of the aroma of the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe. It also  
216 indicates a high level of agreement among the respondents. This implies that the aroma of the  
217 Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe is not only widely accepted but also highly appreciated, contributing  
218 positively to the overall sensory experience of the dish.

219

220 As to the *Taste*, the indicator *It has sweet taste* got a highest mean (M=3.45 SD=0.51),  
221 which can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly Agree* and qualitatively described as *Very*  
222 *Acceptable*. This means that the presence of a sweet flavor in the Coconut Meat *Sisig* is well-  
223 received among the senior high school teachers. This suggests a strong consensus among the  
224 respondents. With these, the sweet element contributes significantly to the overall taste profile of  
225 the dish.

226  
227 On the other hand, the indicator *It has no after taste* got a lowest mean (M=3.24  
228 SD=0.64), which can be verbally interpreted as *Agree* and qualitatively described as  
229 *Acceptable*. The data indicates that, regarding the absence of an aftertaste in the Coconut Meat  
230 *Sisig*, the senior high school teachers generally express agreement. However, the acceptability  
231 may not be as strong as with some other indicators, the response indicates an acceptable  
232 perception among the respondents. It's crucial to acknowledge the subjectivity of aftertaste  
233 preferences, as individuals may have varying expectations and sensitivities in this regard (Sibal,  
234 2018)

235  
236 On average, the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe among the senior high  
237 school teachers in terms of *Taste* (M=3.34 SD=0.57), can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly*  
238 *Agree* and qualitatively described as *Very Acceptable*. The data suggests that, on the whole, senior  
239 high school teachers find the taste of the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe to be highly acceptable. It  
240 indicates a strong consensus and the taste of the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe is not only widely  
241 accepted but is also highly appreciated by the respondents.

242  
243 As to the *Texture*, the indicator *The sisig has smooth and firmly texture* got a highest mean  
244 (M=3.38 SD=0.73), which can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly Agree* and qualitatively  
245 described as *Very Acceptable*. This implies a high degree of agreement among senior high school  
246 teachers, signifying a collective positive perception of the texture of the Coconut Meat *Sisig*. This  
247 indicates that the smooth and firm texture of the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe is widely accepted.  
248 Mature coconuts is known to have firm meat that can be consumed raw or processed to make  
249 shredded coconut (Broschat & Crane, 2020).

250  
251 However, the indicator *The sisig is soft* got a lowest mean (M=3.24 SD=0.69) which can  
252 be verbally interpreted as *Agree* and qualitatively described as *Acceptable*. The data implies that,  
253 in terms of the indicator related to the softness of the Coconut Meat *Sisig*, senior high school  
254 teachers generally express agreement. While the agreement may not be as strong as with some  
255 other indicators, the response indicates an acceptable and agreeable perception among the  
256 respondents.

257  
258 On average, the level of Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe among the senior high school teachers  
259 in terms of *Texture* (M=3.31 SD=0.67), can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly Agree* and  
260 qualitatively described as *Very Acceptable*. This means that the texture of coconut meat *Sisig*  
261 recipe is highly accepted by the senior high school teachers. It can be inferred that the teachers  
262 generally share a positive and favorable perception of the texture of the Coconut Meat *Sisig*.

263  
264 On the overall average, the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe among the  
265 senior high school teachers (M=3.43 SD=0.57), can be verbally interpreted as *Strongly Agree* and  
266 qualitatively described as *Very Acceptable*. This means that the senior high school teachers highly  
267 accepted the new develop innovative *Sisig* using coconut meat as the main ingredient. The strong  
268 agreement implies a widespread acceptability and appreciation of the various attributes,  
269 including taste, aroma, and texture, contributing to the overall positive evaluation.

270  
271

272 Table 3. *Significant Difference on the Level of Acceptability of Coconut Meat Sisig Recipe*  
273 *among the Senior High School Teachers when grouped to their Profile*

<b>Profile</b>	<b>Factors</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>p-value</b>	<b>Decision</b>	<b>Interpretation</b>
<b>Age</b>	Appearance	1.094	0.370	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Color	0.580	0.634	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Aroma	0.361	0.782	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Taste	0.192	0.901	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Texture	0.308	0.819	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
<b>Sex</b>	Appearance	0.001	0.977	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Color	0.000	0.987	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Aroma	0.146	0.705	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Taste	0.130	0.721	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Texture	0.012	0.915	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
<b>Highest Educational Attainment</b>	Appearance	0.903	0.454	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Color	0.385	0.764	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Aroma	0.310	0.818	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Taste	0.562	0.645	Do not reject Ho	Not Significant
	Texture	4.075	0.017	Reject Ho	Significant

*P-value < 0.05 = Reject Ho*

274  
275

276 Table 3 illustrates the significant difference on the level of acceptability of Coconut Meat  
277 *Sisig* recipe among the senior high school teachers when grouped to their profile. As to the  
278 significant difference between the *age* of the respondents and the variables *Appearance*, *Color*,  
279 *Aroma*, *Taste*, and *Texture*, findings revealed that there is no significant difference between these  
280 variables (p-values=0.370, 0.634, 0.782, 0.901, 0.819, respectively). It appears that the age of the  
281 respondents does not have a statistically significant impact on their evaluations of the  
282 appearance, color, aroma, taste, and texture of the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe. This lack of  
283 significance implies that individuals of varying ages within the population hold similar views  
284 and preferences regarding the sensory aspects of the Coconut Meat *Sisig*. Alkerwi, et al. (2015)  
285 conveys that the recipe's visual appeal, color, aroma, taste, and texture are likely perceived  
286 similarly across different age groups among the respondents.

287

288 As to the significant difference between the *sex* of the respondents and the variables  
289 *Appearance*, *Color*, *Aroma*, *Taste*, and *Texture*, findings revealed that there is no significant  
290 difference between these variables (p-values=0.977, 0.987, 0.705, 0.721, 0.915, respectively). It  
291 implies that both male and female respondents within the studied population tend to view the  
292 appearance, color, aroma, taste, and texture of the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe similarly. This  
293 suggests that the recipe has broad appeal, making it suitable for diverse audiences without the  
294 need for sex-specific modifications.

295

296 As to the significant difference between the *highest educational attainment* of the  
297 respondents and the variables *Appearance*, *Color*, *Aroma*, and *Taste*, findings revealed that there  
298 is no significant difference between these variables (p-values=0.454, 0.764, 0.818, 0.645,  
299 respectively). This means that there is no statistically significant distinction in how individuals  
300 with different levels of educational attainment perceive the sensory attributes of the Coconut  
301 Meat *Sisig* recipe, specifically in terms of appearance, color, aroma, and taste. It challenges the  
302 notion that "individuals with higher educational attainment might have distinct preferences or  
303 expectations on a certain dish (Christensen, 2020)".

304

305 As to the significant difference between the *highest educational attainment* of the  
306 respondents and the variable *Texture*, findings revealed that there is a significant difference  
307 between this variable (p-value=0.017). This means that there is a notable distinction in how  
308 individuals with different levels of educational attainment perceive the texture of the Coconut

309 Meat *Sisig* recipe. This significant difference may signify that individuals with higher educational  
310 attainment might have distinct preferences or expectations specifically related to the texture of  
311 the Coconut Meat *Sisig*. Leng, et al. (2016) suggests that individuals with varying educational  
312 backgrounds may approach the sensory aspect of texture with different perspectives or  
313 sensitivities.

## 314 315 **VI. Conclusions**

316  
317 Based on the research findings, it can be concluded that the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe  
318 has garnered widespread acceptance among Senior High School teachers, with positive feedback  
319 on its appearance, color, aroma, taste, and texture. The innovative use of coconut meat as the  
320 main ingredient has proven to be well-received, indicating the dish's potential for positive  
321 integration into the culinary preferences of this target audience. Further, the Coconut Meat *Sisig*  
322 recipe appears to be universally well-received, with minor distinctions related to educational  
323 backgrounds in terms of texture of the dish. Continuous monitoring and feedback collection from  
324 Senior High School teachers can help refine the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe to evolving  
325 preferences, ensuring a sustained popularity and relevance.

## 326 327 **VII. Recommendation**

328  
329 Based on the findings and the significance of this study, the following recommendations  
330 were suggested:

- 331 1. Consider promoting the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe as an innovative and appealing culinary  
332 option for school canteen, given its widespread acceptance among Senior High School teachers  
333 in terms of appearance, color, aroma, taste, and texture.
- 334 2. Explore opportunities to incorporate the Coconut Meat *Sisig* recipe into educational programs  
335 or workshops to enhance culinary diversity and expose students to alternative and nutritious  
336 ingredients.
- 337 3. Emphasize the dish's positive attributes, particularly its innovative use of coconut meat, in  
338 marketing materials to appeal to a broader audience beyond Senior High School teachers.
- 339 4. Acknowledge and address the observed distinction in texture perception based on educational  
340 backgrounds, suggesting potential adjustments or variations to the recipe to accommodate  
341 diverse preferences among individuals with varying levels of educational attainment.

## 342 343 **IX. References**

- 344  
345 Alkerwi, A., Crichton, G. E., Elias, M. F., Sauvageot, N., & Vernier, C. (2015) *Demographic and*  
346 *socioeconomic disparity in nutrition: application of a novel Correlated Component*  
347 *Regression approach*. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4431064/>
- 348 Antenor, R., Eligio, J., Tinio, J., & Tabuyo, J. (2022). Food Preferences of Generation Z Shaped  
349 by Lifestyle. *International Journal of Thesis Projects and Dissertations (IJTPD)*, 10(1),  
350 13–20. [https://www.researchpublish.com/upload/book/Food%20Preferences-02022022-](https://www.researchpublish.com/upload/book/Food%20Preferences-02022022-2.pdf)  
351 [2.pdf](https://www.researchpublish.com/upload/book/Food%20Preferences-02022022-2.pdf)
- 352 Broschat, T., & Crane, J. (2020, December). *The Coconut Palm in Florida*. University of  
353 Florida. <https://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/publication/MG043>
- 354 Cariaso, B. (2023, October 5). Pork prices stable amid expected high demand – group.  
355 *Philstar.com*. [https://www.philstar.com/headlines/2023/10/06/2301558/pork-prices-](https://www.philstar.com/headlines/2023/10/06/2301558/pork-prices-stable-amid-expected-high-demand-group)  
356 [stable-amid-expected-high-demand-group](https://www.philstar.com/headlines/2023/10/06/2301558/pork-prices-stable-amid-expected-high-demand-group)
- 357 Christensen, C. (2020) *What is a food rule vs food preference*. [https://colleenchristensennutrition.](https://colleenchristensennutrition.coc/what-is-a-food-rule-vs-food-preference/)  
358 [coc/what-is-a-food-rule-vs-food-preference/](https://colleenchristensennutrition.coc/what-is-a-food-rule-vs-food-preference/)
- 359 Leng, G., Adan, R., Belot, M., Brunstrom, J., Graaf, K., Dickson, S., ...Smeets, P. (2016). The  
360 *Determinants of Food Choice*. [https://www.nudge-](https://www.nudge-it.eu/images/Nudgeit_Review_oct_2201.pdf)  
361 [it.eu/images/Nudgeit\\_Review\\_oct\\_2201.pdf](https://www.nudge-it.eu/images/Nudgeit_Review_oct_2201.pdf)

362 McLain, K. B. (n.d.). *Food, Nutrition, and Meal Preparation | Foundations for assisting in home*  
363 *care*. [https://courses.lumenlearning.com/suny-home-health-aide/chapter/food-nutrition-](https://courses.lumenlearning.com/suny-home-health-aide/chapter/food-nutrition-and-meal-preparation/)  
364 [and-meal-preparation/](https://courses.lumenlearning.com/suny-home-health-aide/chapter/food-nutrition-and-meal-preparation/)  
365 Philippines Partnership for Sustainable Agriculture. (2020, May 26). *Working Group-Coconut*.  
366 [www.ppsa-ph.org](http://www.ppsa-ph.org)  
367 [publicapubl/327621871\\_food\\_identity\\_of\\_ccultur\\_and\\_religion](http://www.ppsa-ph.org/publicapubl/327621871_food_identity_of_ccultur_and_religion)  
368 Sibal, V. (2018) *Food: Identify of Culture and Religion*. <https://www.researchgate.net/>  
369 The Editors of Encyclopaedia Britannica. (2023, December 1). *Coconut palm | Tree, Scientific*  
370 *Name, Uses, Cultivation, & Facts*. Encyclopedia Britannica.  
371 <https://www.britannica.com/plant/coconut-palm>

**Comment [u1]:** Go through journal guidelines for references

UNDER PEER REVIEW