

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112104
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effectiveness of teacher's classroom assessment practices on predicting pupils learning of English concept in public primary schools
Type of the Article	Errata

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the research has potential for contribution to the scientific community</p> <p>The title may be edited. It needs to be more specific, clearly stating where the research has been conducted</p> <p>It is comprehensive, but lacks specific focus on where the study has been undertaken</p> <p>The manuscript needs to be edited, a section at least must clarify the context of the study and precise nature of it It is, but contains major errors like "Liker scale" [the correct version is Likert Scale]</p> <p>References are to be made, typographical errors to be addressed</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language needs to be more academic	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Structure of the essay looks promising, the argument is clear, but it needs to be grounded in a specific context.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Debanjali Roy
Department, University & Country	School of Language and Literature, KIIT Deemed to be University, India