

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_112104
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effectiveness of teacher’s classroom assessment practices on predicting pupils learning of English concept in public primary schools
Type of the Article	Original research article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, the research has potential for contribution to the scientific community</p> <p>The title may be edited. It needs to be more specific, clearly stating where the research has been conducted</p> <p>It is comprehensive, but lacks specific focus on where the study has been undertaken</p> <p>The manuscript needs to be edited, a section at least must clarify the context of the study and precise nature of it It is, but contains major errors like “Liker scale” [the correct version is Likert Scale]</p> <p>References are to be made, typographical errors to be addressed</p>	<p>The area in which the study has been conducted is presented in the abstract section and in the methodology ;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> That the study was conducted in Iringa Municipal especially to the teachers who were teaching English subjects in Primary school. Pupils in primary schools were the main participant <p>References have been corrected accordingly.</p> <p>The work have been edited</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Language needs to be more academic</p>	<p>The work has been sent to the editor</p>
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Structure of the essay looks promising, the argument is clear, but it needs to be grounded in a specific context.</p>	<p>Noted and worked on it.</p> <p>All corrected areas have been marked red in the main document.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	