

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113175
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between the personal characteristics of teachers and their extent of utilization of different ICT tools
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1.the article is tackling a trend theme which is the integration of ICT in the field of education and its main positive and negative effects on the development of learning/teaching process.</p> <p>2. The title suits the article as it tackles teachers' personal traits and their effects on ICT integration</p> <p>3. the abstract is not comprehensive as it is missing the methodology used and the results found as it contains unnecessary repetition. Also, it does not contain the hypotheses of the research</p> <p>4. yes, all the subsections are appropriate</p> <p>5. the manuscript is scientifically correct as it uses the statistics to get valid data</p> <p>The references are sufficient for the article and they are up to date</p> <p>In this article, the author would add to the abstract the methodology and the procedure used in the article and whether the findings confirm or disconfirm the hypotheses, as he would provide the hypotheses for the research.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	I agree, the language used is suitable for scholarly communication	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sana Bouras</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Chadli Bendjedid, Algeria</b>