

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_113175
Title of the Manuscript:	Relationship between the personal characteristics of teachers and their extent of utilization of different ICT tools
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nowadays, this research is important to a community, especially teachers, because they themselves have experienced these situations in teaching their students every day. • This title fits the needs of the teacher on how to teach his class using different apps to integrate ICT in teaching so that his class does not become boring. • Kindly eliminate the words that have a negative correlation with each other and focus on the positive correlation. • Based on the order of the details, he gave the information, especially on the results of the research, but should have just put tables, especially on the results and discussion. • In the summary result, the relationship between the personal characteristics of teachers and their extent of utilization of different ICT tools has ten indicators, but in the presentation of the report and discussion, there are only nine, and job commitment has disappeared. • After examining the whole article, it follows the rules of scientific correctness because the references are followed by experts in this type of research. • His references are lacking or insufficient because each result and discussion must have two to three authors to prove that this research has been credible. • If there is still time, just look for additional references to make the result even better and really prove each result in correlation to each other. • Show each table with the results, and there will be a discussion to make the correlation between each one clear. Just like indicator 1, which is the education of teachers and the extent of utilization of different ICT tools, up to the tenth indicator. • Show the mean in the result to get the correlation between the independent and dependent variables. 	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Kindly check the minimal errors in constructing the grammar and be specific with the information.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The whole article is good because there are good results, especially the indicators, and it is interesting to read because you can get new information, especially for the teachers, about how the class can be friendly when using ICT tools in their class.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elmer A. Taripe
Department, University & Country	Monkayo College of Arts, Sciences and Technology, Philippines