

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107103
Title of the Manuscript:	Biodiversity Conservation in Agricultural Landscapes: The Role of Integrated Farming Systems
Type of the Article	Review article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it describes practice of IFS that helps to conserve biodiversity. It also pointing out socioeconomic implications in IFS which is very relevant.</p> <p>Title is absolutely suitable.</p> <p>Yes it's quite comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes, completely appropriate.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Some of the references are old. Please take care of that. There are some descriptions where references are needed for supporting the description. Supportive references needed for the description under subheading aquaculture, beekeeping under the heading Understanding Integrated Farming Systems and subheading Enhanced Biodiversity, Improved Soil Health and Reduced Input Dependency under the heading Benefits of Integrated Farming Systems. Format of references is not as per the Journal's requirement. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Language is very easy to understand. Quality of English is good. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction should be more descriptive and informative. It should also establish the scope and limitations of the study. Reference assigned to the introduction part should be 1 instead of 25. References must be in the form of <u>number within third brackets</u> according to the Journal's policy. This format was not maintained. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tushar Kanti Roy
Department, University & Country	Mrinalini Datta Mahavidyapith, West Bengal State University, India